NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan | June 17, 1940 | Subscription: Three Dollars per Year | 10¢

KEEP AMERICA FOR AMERICANS!

Arms Aid to Allies Puts U. S. in War

(See Page 3)

Write to Your Congressman This Week!

PRO-BRITISH influences behind the plan for America to arm the Allies have organized a campaign to swamp Congress with letters in support of the President's action.

Every American reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE is urged to make himself responsible for 10 letters to his Congressman. Call upon him to keep us out of this war; and war out of America.

SOCIAL JUSTICE Will Take 500 Christian Refugees!

COMMENT ON WORLD AFFAIRS ★ A NEW TYPE OF BLOCKADE ★ YOU'RE IN THE ARMY NOW!

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

DINNER CHAT
By the Staff

In the Catacombs

THE sixteen principles of Social Justice are, we believe, permanently sound as a statement of our ultimate hope: namely, a program of Christian social justice for America. But, so are the Ten Commandments.

Social Justice, at the moment, is in the spiritual catacombs.

The immediate task confronting us is to keep America out of war; and, if possible, to keep the European war out of America. The time is short—if, indeed, it is not already too late.

SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, therefore, has not abandoned "the principles," if in these days of swift changing events and crises, we will give ourselves completely to the more important task at hand.

In page after page of frank and fearless comment, the editors, with their many sources of information and counsel, are interpreting and appraising these fast changing world events.

It is what you readers have told us you prefer that we do, and we are keeping faith with our vast reader audience.

In the short time that we have left, therefore — for as long as America continues to have a free press—this National Weekly will print the facts as we find them and, what is more, disclose what seems to us to be the meaning of these facts and their import in the daily lives of the people. That, we believe to be, the honest mission of a National Magazine with the reader confidence which ours enjoys.

It would be a bit foolish, wouldn't it, if we were to discuss the kind of roof we wish upon our structure, the question of foundation stresses, and various matters of interior decoration, when the houses of our neighbors are on fire, and there is imminent danger of our own home burning down?

Christian social justice, we repeat, is in the spiritual catacombs. Perhaps, we must carry on there for a considerable time, awaiting another Constantine.

Columnism

WE HAVE BEEN introduced lately to the new words "fifth column."

A "fifth columnist" generally is regarded as a subversive, a traitor, and a *saboteur*.

As happened in Russia and in Germany it will happen in America, that anyone who opposes the political clique in control of the Government will be regarded as a "fifth columnist."

And this in a day when the Administration itself, directly and indirectly, opposes the *Constitution of the United States*.

SOCIAL JUSTICE believes in columnism.

SOCIAL JUSTICE pleads with its readers to become *First* and *Second columnists*.

A First Columnist is a man whose life is devoted to God.

A Second Columnist is a man who devotes his life to his country.

"For God and Country"—that is the motto of the First and Second Columnist.

There has been altogether too much talk about "fifth columnism" in this country and not enough has been heard about the First and Second Columns.

IF YOU DO NOT LIKE THE CONTENTS OF SOCIAL JUSTICE MAGAZINE PLEASE CANCEL YOUR SUBSCRIPTION: IF YOU DO APPROVE OF IT, GET ONE NEW SUBSCRIPTION THIS WEEK.

The 'Catholic Herald'

IN THE MAY 24, 1940, edition of this outstanding English journal SOCIAL JUSTICE finds solace.

For more than a decade Father Coughlin has been attempting to bring home to Catholics and Protestants and Americans the unbelievable fact that international money-changers were at the bottom of the plot against civilization.

His was a voice crying in the wilderness.

His message was condemned and contemned by many of his fellow religionists.

Well, at last Europe and England are aware of this truth, namely, that subversive international money-changers have had more to do with the cause of this war than all other forces combined.

Page 3 of the edition of the English *Catholic Herald* referred to is mainly devoted to an article by Rev. Dom Theodore Wesseling, O.S.B.

In it we read the following:

"It is the force of the international money-suckers who hold the strings of the Christian purses, who have sacrificed millions of Russians to their ruthless egotism, who desire to sacrifice first Germany, then the other nations of Europe, until they have brought Christianity to its knees in helpless servitude, under the rule of the Judaeo-Federal Union. Will they achieve their evil ends?"



Pete's After Subscriptions

HELLO FOLKS:

Well, Summer came to us here in Michigan at last. Boy, does it seem good! This kinda weather is much better f'r my leg—th' one I broke, y'know. It was kinda botherin' me durin' all that damp weather we were havin'. I could simpathize with Mr. Coughlin. He was havin' a tough time with his joints, too. But he's feelin' better now — in fackt, much too good f'r my komfert 'n f'r Pal's, too. He's been fixin' us up with baths 'n stuff 'til we shine within an inch uv our lives. I guess he's prettyin' us up f'r th' visitors. Y'know how 'tis—tryin' t' show us off t' th' best advantuge. I 'spect ev'ryone does th' same thing when k'mpny's comin'.

' ' '

'Seems funny t' go 'n visut th' Shrine 'n th' school 'n find all th' girls 'n boys gone. They're all gone on vakashun 'n th' school's all cleaned up inside 'n out 'n closed f'r th' Summer. I wonder what they'll all be doin' with themselves. Must seem good t'em not t' hafta worry 'bout lessuns. I 'member how it was when I went t' school—it's not *too* bad, but —I'd justa soon have my freedum 'n independunce 'n roam 'round th' yard when I feel like it.

I ast Pal how he liked his freedum —not havin' ennything speshul t'do, like lookin' after th' subskripshuns 'n worryin' 'bout a deadline, 'n havin' t' get his artikul written in time, 'n y' know what he said? He said it isn't all it's cracked up t' be, this so-called freedum 'n havin' all th' time in th' world t' do nothin' in. He said he'd much rather hafta sweat gum drops workin' 'gainst time 'n worryin' 'bout whether 'r not th' old ship uv SOCIAL JUSTICE'd keep afloat. I'd better be on th' job 'n be a reel good circulashun manajer if I wanna keep my presunt posishun. Looks like he's hankerin' t' have it back.

I hope you folks'll help me out. I need an awful lot uv co-operashun if I'm gonna come ennywhere near Pal's record. I'd hate t' fall down, speshully when so much konfidunce's been placed in me, 'n th' only ones I've got t'depend on are you folks. How about it? C'n I count on y' f'r jus' one teeny-weeny subskripshun this week?

' ' '

Th' staff uv SOCIAL JUSTICE is tryin' its best t' please all our readers 'n th' only way we can tell if we're succeedin' is by th' way the subs come in. 'Course, in tryin' t' please we can't be ennything but true t' our principuls — y' can unnerstand that, I know. Maybe some uv y' will agree with us at times 'n again at other times, y' won't—but at least y' gotta admit we're tryin' t' be honest 'n look at world events without prejudus, 'n 'port what we see th' same way. So if y' like us 'n think y're learnin' somethin', please be kind enuf t' get some new subskribers from among y'r friends 'n don't ferget that if y've received a letter from us tellin' y' that y're subskripshun has 'spired that y'd better take care uv it right off th' bat.

' ' '

Well, Daddy Schwartz got his new teeth 'n he looks right chipper with 'em in, but he says he can't eat with th' durn things, he's been without teeth that connect and bite so long he says he just can't get usta th' feelin' uv havin' a mouthful uv 'em.

I hadda laugh th' other day when he was oratin' 'bout somethin' 'n goin' t' it strong. He got a littul too 'cited 'n out flew th' upper plate, 'n th' speech suddenly came t' an end.

We've hadda lot uv fun 'round th' office 'bout his new set of "china," as they call it. But I guess it's not so funny f'r Daddy. He'll jus' hafta whistle while he waits 'til he gets used t' em—'n does he whistle! Y' oughta hear him tryin' t' say SOCIAL JUSTICE!

Well, I guess I've said enuf 'bout him. 'Better see if he'll let this go t' print. Wouldn't blame him atall if he gets mad 'n throws me outta th' place 'n this attempt at writin' right after me.

If I get by with this, I'll see y' nex' week.

'Bye,

PETE

Index to Comment

United States Is Definitely in the War; Despair; Paris Will Fall; Rothschild ..... Page 3
Hostage; You're Through; Graduates ..... Page 4
Canada; White Feather; Vindication ..... Page 5
Towards Totalitarianism; Birkhead; Mothers; C.I.O.; La Guardia ..... Page 6
"Roll Out the Barrel"; Total War; Your Tax ..... Page 7

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Date_____

Name _____

Address_____ City_____

State_____ Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly, Copyrighted 1940 by the Social Justice Publishing Company; publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed, all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. Louis B. Ward, Editorial Director; E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted, either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 5A June 17, 1940 No. 25

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

United States Definitely in the War

THURSDAY, JUNE 6, 1940, marked our entrance into the second World War.

It was not advertised as such in our newspapers.

It is not admitted by our present administration at Washington.

It will not be recognized by the majority of our citizens.

Nevertheless, Germany, Italy and Russia have accepted that date for America's entrance into an undeclared war on the side of Great Britain and the Allies.

Without consulting Congress, whom he has consistently regarded as a "rubber stamp" organization, Mr. Roosevelt ordained that 50 Navy airplanes should be sold to the Allies.

He arranged that these 50 Government-owned planes should be turned over to the Curtiss Airplane Company, and that this corporation should complete the sale thereof.

That is nothing more than a technicality, a subterfuge.

The substantial fact is that the President of the United States of America contributed Government property, without awaiting legislative action by Congress, to Great Britain and France.

As such, this is a breach of international law and will be regarded so by the enemies of the Allies.

From this day forward we may expect reprisals. Airplane factories, motor factories, powder factories, shipyards and other industrial plants will suffer sabotage.

The working men occupied therein are as good as in the front trenches.

It is planned to transfer more United States Government property to Great Britain and France—1,500 airplanes, 30 destroyers, 200 tanks, 100,000 rifles, 70,000 machine guns, 300 75-millimeter field guns, cannons, howitzers, trench mortars—and all this when we Americans have been told that we are unprepared, under-equipped; all this when our citizens are being taxed for national defense!

The entire situation is baffling to a logical mind. It defies analysis.

Nevertheless, what was incredible several months ago has happened: *we are in the war without having declared that we are in.*

Credulous people believed the sponsors of the present *Neutrality Act* when, several months ago, they pledged their sacred word to keep us out of war. These identical sponsors have catapulted us into it and have brought war into America.

The past tense is used advisedly in the preceding paragraph.

Ambassadors Kennedy in England, and Bullitt in Paris, advised this fatal step.

Governor Lehman of New York applauded it.

Henry Morgenthau, Jr., gave it his approval.

Experts inform us that it will take eight to twelve months for our factories to replace the airplanes now being handed over to the Allies.

Like voices crying in the wilderness, Senators Burton Wheeler, Rush Holt, Homer Bone, Ernest Lundeen and David I. Walsh protested vehemently, but too late, when they were informed what had been done by presidential edict.

Peace has been *blitzkrieged* while Congress slept.

Congratulations to Lord Lothian!

Congratulations to the "fifth columnist" John Bullers.

Congratulations to the internationalists.

Congratulations to the gold shuttlers—and all sympathy to the peace-loving Americans, to the liberty-loving Americans, to the mothers and sons who have been duped as surely in 1940 as their predecessors were in 1916 by the catch-word, "he kept us out of war."

Keep America for the Americans!

' ' '

Despair

ARE WE resigned to a total war?

Are we despairing of peace?

Are we prepared to say farewell to democracy and to the American concept of life?

By no means.

Still withal, approximately 40 per cent of our population is infected with the virus of "Stop Hitler."

Of a sudden we have forgotten our unemployed, our tax-burdened farmers, our bureaucrats, our domestic ills.

The 60 per cent of our population has surrendered to the 40 per cent.

The price of surrender must be paid — paid in taxes, paid in loss of civil liberties, paid in suppression of free speech, of free press and of free pulpit—unless there is an immediate awakening, a subconscious revolution which will mature within the next 4 or 5 months.

When war happens, there will be no solace in our saying "We told you so."

Keep America for the Americans!

' ' '

They Count Us In

PRESSED FOR comment on the intemperate haste which characterized America's informal entrance into the war, German spokesmen were silent.

A commitment was not attainable at Moscow.

Italy, however, using Virginio Gayda as its mouthpiece, said substantially that it is difficult to see why European powers should not intervene on behalf of any American nation in conflict with the United States, if the United States should set a precedent by intervening in an European war.

He added that our intervention in the present war automatically "gave European powers the right of reprisals on American territory" applicable today or at any other moment of American entry.

To save the international, gold shuttling capitalism, through which economic instrument Britain held in bondage 400-million Orientals, and for the preservation of which England, France and the United States preferred the unemployment of millions, the confiscation of countless properties and the ravages of a depression we have plunged into war.

May God have mercy on our souls!

Paris Will Fall

IT IS PREDICTED in many quarters that the capital of France will fall before the assaults of Hitler's forces—and this within six weeks.

There is a so-called fifth column in France of great magnitude.

There are no British soldiers, no British guns.

There are no Belgian soldiers, no Belgian guns.

The ill-equipped French army stands alone.

Nothing but a miracle can save France from being overrun by Germany. The French ports of supply are being closed. Should a second British Expeditionary Force arrive in small numbers at a southern port, it would come without tanks, without trucks, without cannon because 90 per cent of Britain's mechanical equipment was lost in the battle of Flanders.

France is a Catholic country.

France was a democracy.

Despite its democracy, Catholics, as a rule, gained few prominent positions in government.

Nor did Protestants rise to power.

In proportion to population more atheists and Marxists and anti-Christians rose to power in France than in any other country in the world, save Russia.

Perhaps, one begins to understand why representative government in France was misrepresentative government.

Perhaps, one begins to understand why a fifth column exists in Paris, in Bordeaux, in Marseilles, in Toulouse.

France needs a house-cleaning—and has needed it since the days of the French Revolution. It is regrettable that the house-cleaner leans to the theory that the best way to cure a headache is to cut off the head.

Meanwhile the French representative government — including men like Leon Blum, greatly responsible for France's plight at the moment—will join the band of refugees now pouring into New York City.

The loyal Frenchmen comprising the gouged farmers, the exploited factory workers, the oppressed taxpayers, will remain at home to be blitzkrieged while the internationalists who control France will operate from the canyons of Wall Street.

Keep America for the Americans!

' ' '

Rothschild

SO PARIS was bombed! According to press dispatches, the day upon which the Nazi air armada blitzkrieged the French capital, there was a meeting of the Rothschild clan, called to discuss the affairs of the Suez Canal over which private enterprise this family exercises so much control.

For a long time the members of this family have been marked men. The Gestapo has developed an uncanny ability to follow them from capital to villa, from seashore to mountain.

Why? Primarily because they are international bankers who scaled the ladder of financial success by having gambled in British *consols* (bonds) on the occasion of the Battle of Waterloo more than a century and a quarter ago.

(Continued on Page 4)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 3)

Odds are that this family need not meet again in the near future to discuss their holdings in the Suez Canal or in other European corporations.

If they are as clever as fiction has painted them, the Rothschilds will engage the services of an American clipper and seek refuge on Long Island. It would be preposterous even to surmise that they would don French uniforms to fight the "Huns." They are more expert in the devices of remote control.

Keep America for the Americans!

' ' '

Hostage

FOLLOWING the defeat of the French armies, will Hitler turn his attention to England?

Unfortunately, yes.

Is England prepared to handle Hitler's egg-laying bombs.

Probably, no.

From one European source comes the story that, having bombed the industrial districts which in England were not strategically located for defense, Hitler will demand the surrender of the British fleet or else enact the bloodiest *blitzkrieg* imaginable upon the unprotected population of London.

In other words, the people of London are potential hostages, cooped up in inflammable tenement houses which are an easy target for incendiary bombs.

The civilian population is at the mercy of Hitler's death squadron.

Will Britain surrender the fleet?

Probably, no.

More probably, the fleet will retire to Canadian waters. Britain will sacrifice England, if the worst comes to the worst.

Writing of hostages, leads to another question: Who is responsible for the unpreparedness of Great Britain, France, Belgium, Holland, Norway and America?

For more than three years eminent authorities and casual observers have been warning these nations of Germany's progress in constructing an air armada.

The citizens of these nations were lulled to sleep not only by the canting of "it can't happen here" but also by their Marxist leaders who prepared the way for the disaster befalling these Christian nations.

They were more interested in swapping gold and in protecting the abuses of capitalism under cover while ballyhooing and factualizing weak and inefficient proposals of social reform to fool the masses.

It is estimated that at least a million Christian lives have been snuffed out or maimed as a result of this criminal unpreparedness.

It is surmised in some quarters that it was "planned that way" by the unseen bosses who control the golden voices and pull the Punch and Judy strings of the "reformers."

World revolution is here. Real democracy has disappeared. Private propertyship is waning. Christian social practices are scorned. The darkest days, compared to which the so-called dark ages shone in noonday brightness, are upon us.

To what group is attributed this cataclysm now befalling us? What master mind betrayed Europe and England? What diabolical intelligence is now operating to betray America?

Certainly not those who oppose both Naziism and Communism together with every form of naturalistic Marxism.

America awake! The plotters against your Christian civilization are the same ones who plotted the Russian Revolution of 1917; who boasted of world revolution from that day onwards; who supported Lenin, Trotzky, Bela Kun and the policies of the Kremlin.

Today, not only are the citizens of London held as hostages. The entire world is a hostage, threatened with destruction unless it acquiesces in accepting the unchristian theories of Karl Marx and the unchristian leadership of those who refuse to condemn Karl Marx.

This is not a Christian war. This is not a holy war. In its every phase—in its causes and its effects—it is an anti-Christian war.

Keep America for the Americans!

Comment Highlights

We are children of the harvest: Yesteryear's graduates appeal to the newest ones to save America.

' ' '

Civilians of London, and of every other land, are hostages to anti-Christian Marxism.

' ' '

When Paris falls — defeated loyal Frenchmen will remain at home to face the blitzkrieg, while their internationalist exploiters will flee, to operate as refugees—in Wall Street!

' ' '

The 60 per cent of this nation who are not stampeded by the "stop Hitler" hysteria have 4 or 5 months in which to make their voices heard over the noisy minority. When war comes, it will be too late.

Keep America for the Americans!

You're Through!

WHEN William Randolph Hearst was a young, inexperienced publisher, he was tricked by a vagabond reporter.

In the Hearst newspapers on June 4th the veteran journalist recounts the following story about the incident:

"The reporter was surrounded with an air of mystery.

"He said that he had some secret information, the source of which he was not at liberty to reveal, but which he felt sure would enable him to locate the criminals.

"All he needed was a few days time at his own disposal, and a hundred dollars of expense money.

"The finances were arranged.

"The reporter disappeared. Nothing was heard for three days.

"Then a telegram was received. It read:

" 'Am on the trail. Send another hundred.'

"The communication was not entirely satisfactory, but the other hundred was forwarded.

"Then another telegram arrived:

" 'Have a wonderful clue leading right to the hiding place. Send a hundred.'

"Details sort of seemed to be lacking, but the hundred was dispatched.

"Finally a third telegram arrived:

" 'Have fine idea for trapping the whole bunch. Send a hundred.'

"The hundred was reluctantly relinquished this time, and a note accompanied it saying that the office expected less expenditure and more expedition. Days elapsed.

"Some of the office force began to fear that the reporter might have been ambushed by the robbers.

"Others were afraid that he had not been.

"At last a telegram arrived. It read:

" 'Plan failed. Have another clue. Am still chasing the robbers. Send a hundred.'

"The reply said:

" 'Stop chasing the robbers and chase another job. You're through.'

"Even an innocent gets wise sometime."

For seven years we have been asked by Congress and the President of the United States to kick-in billions of dollars to enable the sleuth in the White House to catch the thief of depression.

Possibly it is time for the American electorate to send him a wire—brief and to the point—*"You're through!"*

Keep America for the Americans!

' ' '

Graduates

TO THE MILLIONS of boys and girls who graduate from grade school, high school, college and university we extend our greetings—and proffer our advice.

Short years ago your Commentator was a graduate—so was your father; so was your mother; so were we of this generation.

Commencement Day came for us. Certificate in hand, we began to march life's highway, which led through the valleys and over the hillsides of God's most beautiful country—our America.

It was a land of promise and prospect.

It was a land of opportunity and wealth.

It was a land of peace and contentment.

It was a land of happy homes, busy factories, tilled fields, virgin forests, bubbling wells, sparkling mines.

It was a land teeming with citizens who loved their Washington, gloried in their Lincoln and prospered under their *Constitution.*

It was a land where God seemed to walk hand in hand with each graduate.

And in the night a thief came and sowed cockle!

"The kingdom of heaven is likened to a man that sowed good seed in his field.

"But while men were asleep, his enemy came and oversowed cockle among the wheat and went his way.

"And when the blade was sprung up and had brought forth fruit, then appeared also the cockle.

"And the servants of the good man of the house coming said to him: Sir, didst thou not sow good seed in thy field? Whence then hath it cockle?

"And he said to them: An enemy hath done this. And the servants said to him: Wilt thou that we go and gather it up?

"And he said: No, lest perhaps gathering up the cockle, you root up the wheat also together with it.

"Suffer both to grow until the harvest, and in the time of the harvest, I will say to the reapers: Gather up first the cockle and bind it into bundles to burn, but the wheat gather ye into my barn." (*Matt. xiii,* 24-30).

One time during these past 40 odd years, an enemy sowed the cockle of irreligion, the cockle of greed, the cockle of materialism, the cockle of Communism. It grew luxuriantly with the golden wheat in scattered fields.

Of this we were warned. But little heed did we pay to the warning.

After one or two generations passed from the corridors of our schools, harvest time came.

(Continued on Page 5)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 4)

You are children of the harvest.

Some of you will be gathered into barns to be saved.

Some of you will be gathered into heaps to be burned.

The harvest time of reckoning for which we, your elders, are responsible!

For the good wheat we are responsible.

For the bad cockle we are responsible.

Graduates, we who have reached the estate of drooping shoulders and graying heads, turn to you at this harvest time.

Upon you falls a double task of acquiring contentment for yourselves and security for us.

Not that we deserve it—we who have been remiss; we who threw wide open the gates to the enemy.

Not that we deserve to hold fast to the meager properties we have acquired; to the liberties we inherited; to the faith we have abused.

Nevertheless, under God, we turn to you to undo the wrongs we permitted to wax strong.

We were compromisers. You must not be!

We were cowards. You must not be!

We were wastrels. You must not be!

We were pleasure-seekers. You must not be!

We were traitors to the heritage bequeathed us. You must not be!

Our parents hewed from the forest of this wilderness its homes, traditions and culture. It was a culture established upon the solid rock of Christianity.

We traded it for the frenzied living and tinsel gain which the cockle of Communism now threatens to destroy.

Will you help us? Will you help us to return to plain living, to sound policies and to Christian practices?

Upon your shoulders rests the burden of civilization.

If you fail, all may be lost in this America, the land of the free and the home of the brave.

Keep America for the Americans!

* * *

Canada

BY BLOOD, language, tradition and religion, the Canadian and the American meet in close contact.

Approximately one year ago, SOCIAL JUSTICE printed an editorial predicting that the visit of the King and Queen to our shores was, among other things, for the purpose of house-hunting.

At that time it was obvious to informed persons that England was in danger of being captured or partially destroyed by Germany.

It was obvious to observers that the seat of Empire, in that case, would be moved either permanently or temporarily to Canada.

Following the Battle of Flanders — the most disastrous in the annals of military warfare — it is apparent that their Royal Majesties, King George and Queen Elizabeth, will take up residence north of the St. Lawrence River.

We Americans bid them welcome.

More than that, SOCIAL JUSTICE advocates a policy of closer relationship between Canada and the United States.

While thus committing ourselves, we revert to the policies of Sir Wilfrid Laurier, once Premier of Canada, who advocated reciprocity between these two nations—a sane reciprocity, without either nation's attempting to destroy the political structure of the other; a sound reciprocity, with both nations proposing to live in amity with each other; a strong reciprocity, with the combined resources of Canada and the United States being utilized to save and prosper the standard of living which we in this northern half of this Western Hemisphere evolved since the acceptance of the *Constitution* and the passage of the *British North America Act*.

Together, these two countries can become practically self-sufficient. Possibly, with a totalitarian Europe on one side and a totalitarian Asia on the other, we will be constrained to forego foreign trade to a great extent. On this basis let us work together to preserve and perfect our representative forms of government, to write a common policy of peace; to erect a common continental defense stretching from Alaska to Central America; and to evolve a common program of justice for all, even though it costs us the necessity of cashiering intolerable profits for the few.

In 1823, President Monroe gave expression to a doctrine which opposed further colonization in the Americas by foreign powers. Let that doctrine stand on its own merits as a statement of our foreign policy. But let us bear in mind that the Commonwealth of Canada enjoys sovereignty.

In other words, Canada is an autonomous nation just as much as is the United States. Canada is not a colony of an empire, but is an equal nation freely existing within an empire.

To adopt and perfect the Sir Wilfrid Laurier policies of reciprocity, and to hold fast to the doctrines expressed by President Monroe, does not mean that the United States need go to war to defend the British Empire.

Australia, New Zealand, Egypt, South Africa and the other territories over which flies the British Union Jack are of no concern to us. Canada—the independent nation whose ruler is known as the "King of Canada" and who is represented by a viceroy—*is* our concern.

Let Americans learn these facts and become acquainted with the constitutional set-up of our northern neighbor.

Keep America for the Americans!

* * *

White Feather

CONGRATULATIONS to John Farrow, husband of Maureen O'Sullivan! No publicity-seeking starlet will hand him a white feather. English citizen that he is, he resigned his Hollywood post and took up his duties as a British naval reserve officer, operating from Ottawa.

To be near her husband, Maureen O'Sullivan and their child, Mickey, packed bag and baggage at the call of duty. That is one phase of moving picture life. In contrast, observe the following:

The brass hat of Twentieth Century Fox —Schenk by name—is indicted by the Government on a charge of cheating in his Federal income tax returns.

It might be a laudable thing if Uncle Sam secured a few hundred white feathers from the American eagle's tail and decorated some of these Hollywood foreigners with the insignia which they deserve while they are investigating Mr. Schenk's new drama, *"How to Cheat the Public."*

Keep America for the Americans!

Vindication

A DELAYED and uncensored dispatch comes from Brussels telling the inside story of King Leopold's surrender.

He and his army of 400,000, with as many more refugees, were trapped in a sac by the Nazi mechanized force.

For several days both the army and the refugees were without drinking water and food.

Without mechanized support, the Belgian soldiers, armed only with bayonets and small guns, were destined to be overrun by 20-ton tanks.

Add to this that King Leopold was deserted by his own representative government, whose leaders had fled to France.

He informed both the British and French armies that resistance was no longer possible against such odds, particularly when the British were in a position to extend him no further help.

Under these circumstances the young king surrendered his sword.

Carping critics, here and elsewhere, now know what the Cardinal Archbishop of Brussels thinks of Leopold's surrender. This eminent prelate sent Leopold a message of congratulations, proclaiming that the King of the Belgians did the only moral thing which it was possible for him to do.

Relative to this comment, we print excerpts from an article appearing in the *London Catholic Herald* of Friday, May 10.

Therein we read:

"Belgium is not lacking in its Quislings, and there is considerable pro-Nazi sentiment among some of the people, but most particularly among Flemish Catholics.

"The owners of my favourite cafe are rabidly pro-Hitler; yet they remain pious and exemplary Catholics. They pester me with argument, so much so, that I reluctantly have ceased visiting their place. They consider I ought to be an enemy of the 'English oppressors.' They flatly disbelieve any stories against Germany, many of which I am able to confirm by my own family connections with Germany, about the foul treatment given to Catholics by the Nazis.

"It startles me, this passionate sympathy for Hitler, which I find among so many of my Flemish acquaintances, all of whom are well-instructed, practising Catholics. The brother of a high-standing ecclesiastic told me the other day that he was 'pro-Hitlerien *cent pour cent*.' He works on a reputable Flemish newspaper. There are others on its staff who share his political fanaticism."

Upon the above excerpt, written by the regular correspondent of the *Catholic Herald*, permit us to add our own word of comment: Solidly grounded Catholics can not, in the abstract, uphold all the principles and policies of Naziism. On the other hand, solidly grounded Catholics can not uphold all the principles and policies of imperialism and modern capitalism. The latter starved them, paid them less-than-living wages, kept millions of them in unemployment, begot wars which made targets of human flesh.

It is understandable why Catholics and Protestants and others of the exploited classes turn against holier-than-thou imperialism and the wolf of sheep-clothed capitalism.

At least, Hitler nailed the usurers, liquidated unemployment and accomplished in seven years, without gold what all the empires of Europe with a plenitude of gold failed to do.

This is embarrassing to admit. If bad boy Adolf could accomplish such success, why cannot good boy Franklin equal him?

Keep America for the Americans!

(Continued on Page 6)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 5)

Towards Totalitarianism

THE APPOINTMENT of Wm. S. Knudsen, Edward R. Stettinius, Jr., Sidney Hillman, Leon Henderson, Chester Davis, Ralph Budd, and Miss Harriet Elliott to the National Defense Commission is to be applauded.

At long last, the nation is commandeering its best brains.

At long last, the nation is recognizing the mediocrity of political brains.

A crisis is upon us—a crisis of unpreparedness.

The origin of this crisis is traceable not only to Adolf Hitler, to Josef Stalin and to the proponents of Marxism, but also to the inefficient, inexpert and, therefore dangerous personnel of politicians who occupied the seats in Congress, in the secretariates and in the White House these past several years.

Possibly, the finest gesture made by Mr. Roosevelt since his first inaugural was his tacit admission of failure to organize the United States and his consequent appointment of certain "princes of privilege" to do a job which Congress could not do.

The question arises: Is this only a gesture? By that we mean, will Mr. Roosevelt permit Messrs. Knudsen, Stettinius and the other able appointees to carry on without political interference, or will he inject himself, his penumbrous brain trust and jelly fish Congress into the orbit of the new Commission's activities?

If he does the latter, the appointment of the seven outstanding leaders is less than a gesture: It is an hypocrisy!

If he does the former—which he should do after seven years of muddling—he is to be applauded.

Granted that Knudsen, Stettinius, *et al* will function without interference, we will have moved away considerably from so-called democracy into a sphere of totalitarianism—fascistic totalitarianism, if you will.

Why not? Too often, decent, capable men, refusing to become targets of the mud-slinging entailed in political electioneering have refused to stand for elective offices.

Too often, professional, self-seeking, party-biased adventurers have sought elective offices.

Thus, Federal, State and municipal seats were soiled. Inefficiency reigned supreme. Things that should have been done were left undone. Things that should have been left undone were done.

At length even the untutored in our midst began to regard representative government with disdain.

Now that democracy has failed; now that the Cabinet has failed; now that Congress has failed; now that a party President has failed, give these appointees power to erect a national defense second to none—a national defense against potential enemies from without and actual enemies from within.

Ring out the rude reign of the professional politician and bureaucrat.

Ring in the new era of the business man and the expert!

Keep America for the Americans.

* * *

Birkhead

THE MAY 22nd edition of the *Boston Post* features the Rev. Mr. Leon Birkhead, President of the Friends of Democracy, and identified with the Unitarian Fellowship for Social Justice.

The Rev. Mr. Birkhead is a Unitarian who does not believe in the divinity of Jesus Christ, as do orthodox Christians.

As such, he might be regarded as a sort of "Gentile Jew."

Assailing Col. Charles A. Lindbergh and the Rev. Charles E. Coughlin, together with Henry Ford and a host of other patriots, this Unitarian hitch-hiker makes himself ridiculous.

His western congregation grew so tired of his meaningless mumblings some time ago that he was constrained to invite Sally Rand to do her fan dance for the spiritual entertainment of his flock.

Evidently, he acquired a new technique since that date, and now persists in doing verbal fan dances for the amusement of audiences throughout the nation.

When the Unitarian Fellowship for Social Justice begs for money over the air waves, please be generous with them. They need it to purchase a few more feathers to cover the intellectual nakedness of this ministerial Sally—goose feathers, if you please.

Keep America for the Americans!

Mothers

Col. Charles Lindbergh's mother-in-law is a blue blood who proved that she can talk a blue streak. To the astonishment of the mothers of America, she microphoned a message to the effect that we Americans must get into this European war and stay in it until the last son of the last red-blooded mother will have sacrificed his life to save the gold hoard in Kentucky.

Mrs. Dwight Morrow is no more important than "Mrs. Mike McCarthy." By accident, the former was the wife of one of the partners of the J. Pierpont Morgan private banking company, which played the role of Britain's financial agent in America during the World War; the latter happens to be the mother of six stalwart sons.

Why should the sons of red-blooded Mrs. Mike McCarthy go to war to preserve intact the gold hoard which benefits the blue-blooded Mrs. Dwight Morrow?

Keep America for the Americans!

* * *

C. I. O.

AT A RECENT Flint, Michigan, convention, the U.A.W.A.—an affiliate of the C.I.O.—spoke its mind plainly.

So did John L. Lewis, the head of the CI.O., in a recent pronouncement.

In both instances opposition was expressed to any "fifth columnism" and to the entrance on the part of America into Europe's war.

What is the future of the C.I.O.? Its members soon will be engaged in the blood business — manufacturing planes, munitions and machines to prosecute Great Britain's war against Adolf Hitler's totalitarianized Europe.

Soon, the members of the C.I.O. will be forbidden to strike under any circumstances lest an injury will be suffered to our national defense program.

Soon—and step by step—the members of the C.I.O. will be regimented in factories, on the principle that a man at the lathe is just as much of a soldier as the man in the trenches.

What will happen to the C.I.O.? Will its Marxist leaders who occupy many important positions accept these transitions calmly? Or, will sabotage, under their direction, gain ground in our factories, munition plants, airdromes and shipyards?

Will clever politicians, promising the personnel of the C.I.O. the world with a fence around it, gain the support of the organization at the forthcoming elections?

Has the leadership in the C.I.O. resigned itself to prosperity in war and depression in peace?

These are pertinent questions, particularly in view of the fact that 250,000 I.L.G.W. union members (Dubinsky garment workers) have deserted the C.I.O.; and particularly since this desertion to the A.F. of L. forbodes other unions following their example.

These are days of transition in which this country will perfect its democracy or lose its democracy entirely.

These days call for fearless, American leadership on the part of the officers of the C.I.O. and the A.F. of L.

Keep America for the Americans!

* * *

LaGuardia

MANY, IF NOT ALL, Christians in the City of New York will regard Fiorello LaGuardia as the most versatile, political weather-vane in the city's entire history.

A little more than a year ago, this Jewish-Italian Mayor of New York, who is reputed to be related to Bela Kun, appeared at the World's Fair Soviet pavilion.

Laudatory were his remarks in behalf of the Hammer and Sickle.

On other occasions he promoted to office known radicals, even attempting to protect in devious ways the appointment of the "filth" columnist, Sir Bertrand Russell, to a position of prominence in the educational system of the city.

His entire record is punctuated by commas, colons, semi-colons, periods and exclamation marks written in red ink. As a parenthesis in his political career he found time to raise particular Hades with the so-called Christian Fronters, organized not to overthrow America but to turn out the Communists from their seats in America. No corresponding attack did this political southpaw wage against the radicals in Union Square, in Madison Square Garden and elsewhere, who openly proposed the overthrow of this form of government.

With such a record, this fence-straddling, pink-tongued politician was invited to address 3,500 firemen attending a Communion breakfast under the auspices of the Holy Name Society.

Here is the language he used, Ripley-esque as it may appear: "I don't believe in once a week Christianity. I believe in applied Christianity during all our lives."

(Where did he get that word "our"?)

He continued by saying: "We must show the world that we can hold high the National Emblem without holding down the Cross of Christ."

(Where did he get that word "we"?)

Fine words, Mr. LaGuardia! On the principle that actions speak louder than words, clean out your rotten school system; scrub the pavements of Union Square; fumigate the city hall; crack down on Marxism, which is the one-celled father and mother of the scurvy Communists who supported you throughout your career.

Having done this, your post-prandial pronouncement may be digested with less question of sincerity.

Failing this, if the Christians, anti-Communists, anti-Nazis and anti-Marxists of New York are willing to be fooled by a Manhattan Machiavelli, that is their business—even though the fooling is aided and abetted by ecclesiastical friends.

Keep America for the Americans!

(Continued on Page 7)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 6)

'Roll Out the Barrel'

TIN-PAN ALLEY supplied the tune of *"Happy Days are Here Again"* for both the 1932 and 1936 campaigns conducted by President Roosevelt.

If you are telescopic-minded, they were happy days, these last eight years—provided you looked through the back end of the telescope.

They were happy days for the politicians and princes of pap.

They were happy days for the believers in Marxist philosophy.

They were happy days for the brain trusters and experimenters in the fields of economics and sociology

They were happy days for the traders in gold and silver.

They were happy days for Harry Bridges and the industrial wreckers

They were happy days for the international bankers and money creators.

Taking inventory, however, they were unhappy days for the unemployed.

They were unhappy days for the taxpayers.

They were unhappy days for the W.P.A. unfortunates.

They were unhappy days for the old-fashioned lovers of American constitutionalism.

They were days in which it was promised that Americans would be kept out of war at all costs.

They were days which culminated in a practiced propaganda to get us into war at all costs.

Now comes the 1940 elections. Tin-Pan-Alley is being explored for another catch song. May we suggest the good old German drinking song, *"Roll Out the Barrel."*

"Roll Out the Barrel"—the pork barrel which will enable New Deal Senators and Representatives to ladle out billions of dollars for airports, subsidized industrial plants, modernized armories, mushroom training schools and a thousand other items which will supply channels for spending money created by the privately owned Federal Reserve Banking System

Roll out the pork barrel to insure the national defense of the New Deal Party upon whose brow destiny has woven the bay-leaves of Mosaic deliverance.

Wars are non-productive. They create a synthetic prosperity which vanishes like mist before the sunrise of peace

An administration which proved itself incapable of steering the ship of state into the harbor of prosperity in broad daylight over tranquil waters should not be trusted at the helm when the night is dark and the storms of destruction are treacherous.

Keep America for the Americans!

' ' '

Total War

WRITING for the *Associated Press*, John Lear says: "There is evidence in the files of the Federal Government that a substantial part of the plans for Adolf Hitler's *blitzkrieg* were 'borrowed' from the United States . . .

"For these plans are America's plans for mobilization in event we go to war, and they gear up men and machines and every other resource of the na[illegible] to win war as swiftly as possible.

"They are plans fo[illegible]al war.' They cover every conceivable factor in preparation for battle. Nothing approaching their efficiency had been devised anywhere in the world before the organizing genius of the United States began drawing them up 20 years ago . . . No treachery . . . is responsible for the fact that the Nazis were aware of them . . .

"I cannot name the source of the following information, but I can vouch for the fact that it is both official and reliable:

"(1) Within a year after Hitler came to power in Germany in 1933, the Nazi military attache here began studying the American war mobilization blueprints. He had the right to do so under ordinary diplomatic procedure. He spent six months making his examination.

"(2) Not until a year after he completed his study was the first of the Nazis' national defense laws passed—on May 21, 1935.

"(3) The war mobilization system which took shape in Germany after that was a striking parallel to the American plan in all its principal characteristics.

"Agents from this country, working in Germany, described it as a 'conscientious imitation.'

"(4) The similarity was sharply illustrated in the Nazis' very first conquest—the *anschluss* with Austria.

"Immediately after occupying Vienna, the Germans made a census of Austrian industries and allocated their respective share in supplying war needs—in identically the same way as the same step is outlined under the American plan.

"(5) Then came the invasion of the Low Countries, and the repeated overpowering blows against the Allies which military men agree can be explained only by highly concentrated resources poured into the fighting front through ultra-efficient lines of communication."

If this is total war, then let there be no favorites exempted. Let big incomes, as well as small, bear the tax load to pay for it.

' ' '

Now we discover that Hitler's blitzkrieg simply put into execution a mobilization plan which America has had in secret for twenty years

' ' '

Totalitarian Christianity or totalitarian anti-Christian Marxism confronts today's world: democracy has waned, individualism has petered out.

' ' '

Politicians and bureaucrats having failed, let us call in able men and give them power to act.

So, for 20 years America has had plans for a *blitzkrieg*. We have kept these plans pigeon-holed during the past 7 years, although we anticipated this present war. We knew that America would not enter the first phase of the war. There was too much sentiment against it. But we also knew that our internationalist-minded administration would move a mountain of gold to get us into it.

Now that an election is at hand, we are prepared to dust off the pigeon-holed plans and elect Mr. Roosevelt for a third term—and for other terms, as long as he wants to be the American version of *der Fuehrer*.

Keep America for the Americans!

' ' '

Your Tax

IT IS PROPOSED that each person receiving a weekly revenue of $16 or more will be subjected to a Federal tax in the name of national defense.

Why not simplify this entire tax problem?

We're in the army now—all of us, including soldiers, factory workers, farmers, beauticians, stenographers, executives, etc.

Why not regard the present Federal tax system as obsolete?

Why not institute a new system of Federal taxation based totally upon income?

Since the brackets will be lowered to include, probably, the $16-a-week wage earner, well and good—even though the poor fellow cannot afford it.

However, on the principle that taxes should be paid according to a taxpayer's ability to pay, we suggest the following:

(a) That all corporations be taxed on all net incomes 100 per cent, minus 5 per cent profit for the stockholders;

(b) That all individuals receiving over $100,000 a year from any or all sources be taxed 100 per cent on all receipts over $100,000;

(c) That all individuals receiving between $832 a year and $100,000 a year be taxed on a sliding scale, beginning with 5 per cent for the man in the lowest bracket and ending with 80 per cent for the man in the $100,000 bracket.

We're in the army now—all of us.

A total war should affect the total population.

The man at the executive's desk should be regarded as a soldier just as much as the man in the trench, the man with the hoe, or the man at the lathe.

In fact, because the white-collared man or executive takes less chance on his life than does the man in the factory or in the trench, he should be taxed for the reserved seat he occupies.

These words express a harsh proposal.

However, we have elected to gird ourselves for a war.

SOCIAL JUSTICE does not approve of the war. SOCIAL JUSTICE is simply taking facts as they are—namely, that the nation has elected to pick a fight with the European and Asiatic anti-capitalists for the world's heavyweight championship.

Therefore, if there must be war, let us fight it fairly, as far as our citizens are concerned.

No favorites in this battle royal!

No Federal Government employe exemptions in this free-for-all!

Decidedly, these suggestions point towards a species of totalitarianism which we abhor — which we have abhorred and which we warned against over the years.

Now that the New Deal has played successfully upon the strings of national hysteria, the entire nation should be compelled to eat the bread that it has baked.

One thing, however, we can do is this (and we write for the common man, the common woman, the cannon fodder and bomb fodder): Agitate in private and in public for total taxation if we are set on conducting a total war.

Possibly, as a result of such agitation, the war-mongers, the gold hoarders, and the fat-torsoed international capitalists will retreat from their present position more rapidly, if the plain people of America will begin to make war on their plunderbund purses.

Incidentally, despite his fat faults, we admire Hitler for one thing: He is found daily in the front trenches eating hardtack, sharing the discomfort of his soldiers and seeking no exemptions. By that token, the incumbents of the White House and Congress should match Hitler if they hope to conquer Hitler.

Keep America for the Americans!

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin
Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.

Just living annual wage.

Nationalization of important public resources.

Private ownership of all other property.

Control of private property for public good.

Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.

Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.

Cost of living maintained on an even keel.

Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.

Labor's right to organize.

Recall of non-productive bonds.

Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.

Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.

Simplification of Government and lower taxes.

Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.

Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

Hypocrisy

WHEN AUSTRIA was annihilated by the Third Reich our Government reminded us that it was no concern of ours.

When the Sudetenland and Czechoslovakia were absorbed it, too, was no concern of ours.

When Poland was quartered and butchered, it was not our concern.

When Finland was crucified and Norway was trampled upon, it was no concern of ours.

When the Netherlands and Belgium were *blitzkrieged,* it was still no concern of America's.

But when Great Britain is threatened, all Presidential commitments to the contrary and all Presidential promises to the contrary, it becomes tremendously our concern.

Not that we are concerned with the fate of the innocent people of England, but for the British international economic system, of which we are a part.

Although there has been no public notice that any commitment exists between Great Britain and the United States, nevertheless we may suspect that secret commitments have been made by our Administration through the agency of such emisaries as Mr. William Bullitt, our roving, radical-leaning ambassador.

This Administration has treated American citizens as if we were whining children. Worse than that, it now commits an act of hypocrisy little short of shameful.

Following fast upon the hysterical pronouncement that we were in danger of invasion by a foreign foe; and the consequent unblushing confession on the part of the Administration that our national defense is inadequate (although this Administration had spent $7,500,000,000 to make it adequate); Mr. Roosevelt, in method little short of subterfuge smelling of hypocrisy, transfers ownership of 50 U. S. Naval bombing planes through the Curtiss corporation to the Allies.

In announcing the transaction, he refers to our airplanes, to the 100,000 Enfield rifles, tanks, and reconditioned Navy ships as "surplus stock"—***at the very moment when we are supposed to lack equipment with which to defend ourselves!***

Why not be honest and above board?

If Mr. Roosevelt wants us to send our munitions, money and men to the aid of Great Britain, why not say so?

Such Hyde Park diplomacy is fooling no person.

The American people have a right to know the plain truth, revealed in plain words.

By tradition, we abhor hypocrisy!

Little Refugees

WHEN ADOLF HITLER began his persecution of the Jews in Germany, we Americans became acquainted with the word "refugee."

Contributions were solicited by pulpit, microphone and newspaper for the purchase of food, clothing and other necessaries for the afflicted.

Agitation was aroused in Congress and in the press for America to mitigate her immigration laws to receive the wandering Jew.

Big-hearted America responded, as she was expected to respond.

No one knows how many Jewish refugees gained entrance into the United States since 1933. The best estimate is nothing more than a "guess-timate."

Meanwhile, thousands of these refugees—professional and otherwise—not only gained admission to America but found jobs, when American citizens could not obtain them.

Now, another phase of this refugee problem confronts us. It is the Belgian, French, English and Scotch phase.

We are informed, for example, that England is planning to transfer at least 50,000 children from the bombing scenes of Sussex, Kent, Manchester, Birmingham, Southampton, Glasgow and Edinburgh.

The plan is to place these innocent victims outside danger zones in Canada, Australia and other localities.

Why does not America now volunteer to open wide its arms for these fine English, Scotch, Belgian, French children?

We have warships and merchant men available to send to Liverpool and Cork, at which ports the children could be assembled and transferred to America for the duration of the war.

Social Justice Publishing Corporation is willing to play step-father and step-mother to 500 such children if the American Government will interest itself as much in them as it did in the Jewish refugees.

Great Britain safely cargoed more than $1-billion of gold to the United States with the assistance of warships during the past several weeks.

Why can they not transport these children, who are more precious than gold?

Why are Gentile and Christian child refugees forgotten in this crucial hour?

Every informed person knew that the holocaustal war was about to break upon Europe more than three years ago. Taking time by the forelock, the Jews were careful to bestir publicity in behalf of their old men and women, as well as in behalf of their children.

The persecution suffered by the Jews in Germany is not to be compared to the persecution now being suffered by the Christians and Gentiles above-mentioned.

We have no love for Britishism, because it has been controlled by Oriental internationalists, to the disparagement of the English, Scotch, French, Belgian and other peoples in Europe, as well as to the countless millions in Asia.

Nevertheless, we love all the nationals abroad — particularly the little children.

To them we open wide our arms and our purses and our hearts, if both Britain and the United States will utilize their merchantmen and warships to freight this precious cargo to our shores.

Indeed, we will care for them as if they were our own until this war is terminated.

No Accidents

GREAT MEN who rise to great heights are no accidents.

The exception to this rule is the politician who rises to the seats of the mighty on the shoulders of the mediocre.

William Knudsen, formerly of General Motors Corporation, and Henry Ford, founder of the Ford Motor Corporation, are great men and deservedly so.

They had a passion for work that was constructive.

They sought to accomplish things rather than to acquire dollars.

Inspired by a far-reaching ideal to serve in a particular capacity, they were devoted to detail.

The ideal was first and uppermost in their minds — subconsciously, at least.

As youths, they sacrificed pleasure, play and comfort.

Another man, specifically, went into a blacksmith shop when he was 14 years of age, determined to become the best blacksmith in his State. He was not satisfied with nailing shoes on a horse or bending tires to fit a wheel. He was inquisitive enough to find out what kind of fire was hottest and what ingredients were used in compounding a horseshoe.

To be the best blacksmith, according to his mind, necessitated the study of chemistry.

He was not satisfied to fix steel straps on a carriage body. He was determined to find out what kind of a carriage would move most expeditiously and comfortably.

In other words, to be the best blacksmith meant that he must study physics, drafting and engineering.

These sciences he mastered to a degree without ever having set a foot on a high school threshold.

This man became one of the world's greatest motor car body builders—if not the greatest.

To arrive at that estate he was compelled to study organization, labor conditions, markets and finance—all of which he mastered.

Great men are not accidents.

In the social field they have proceeded ahead of sculptors, painters, musicians, poets, philosophers, theologians and statesmen.

That is unfortunate. Nevertheless, it is understandable. If the litany of the above applied themselves as definitely, meticulously and sacrificingly to their avocations as did the industrialist referred to, America would have developed in a rounded fashion, not lopsided as it has developed in our generation.

Anti-Semites

RECENTLY Rabbi Wise substantially said that the wave of anti-Semitism in America has subsided.

What does this erstwhile public consorter with Reds mean?

If anti-Semitism was manifested in America, there must have been a reason for it.

Until Stalin's shot-gun wedding with Hitler was announced, the Rabbi was on kissing terms with the handlebar-mustached resident of the Kremlin.

He upheld the Communist cause in Mexico.

He supported the Communist cause in Spain.

He smiled upon the Communist activities in America.

When asked, along with the General Jewish Council and its affiliates, to condemn Communism and oppose it as vigorously as Father Coughlin condemned and opposed both Naziism and Communism, his only retort was to yell "Anti-Semite!"

Thousands of his co-racials took up the cry.

Hundreds of members of a Jewish-controlled press headlined it.

The plain fact is that anti-Semitism gained attention because of Rabbi Wise and a cacophonous minority who yelled "Stop Thief!" while they were getting away with murder. They had a grudge to settle with Hitler, who had imposed a $400,000 fine upon their co-racials in Germany. They had a grudge to settle with Father Coughlin who punctured their propaganda balloon.

They thought that Stalin and his unwashed hordes were the boys to pay off the grudge.

Throwing principle aside, they bet their bottom dollar on Joe who, unknownst to them, had engaged in clandestine relations with Adolf.

Then came the denouement, otherwise known as the dawn. As a result, not only did they discover that their policies were red; they found out that their faces were red, too.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is not anti-Semitic. However, it is pro-Christian, pro-American.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is not anti-Semitic. However, it is anti-internationalist, anti-Marxist.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is not anti-Semitic. However, it is anti-Communist and anti-Nazi.

When Rabbi Wise has the intestinal fortitude to make a public act of contrition for his unsocial, un-American and pro-Marxist leanings of the past, SOCIAL JUSTICE will applaud him.

Keep America for the Americans!

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Here Come the British!

...'With a Bang, Bang!'

Yesterday, a Popular Song; Tomorrow, a Grim Reality

NOT NAZI GERMANY, but Imperial Britain threatens invasion of the American Continent.

With the fall of London—if Germany is successful in her attack upon the British Isles, having established a military victory upon the Continent—the British Empire is prepared to move its capital and its emperor to Ottawa, Canada.

Americans need not go to Europe to fight in World War No. 2.

It will be brought to them!

Our pocketbooks are already in the war. Taxation to meet a preparedness budget—a gruelling burden on top of an unpayable national debt—is under debate in Washington.

Mr. Winston Churchill's report to the British Parliament officially announced a "colossal military disaster," with 30,000 men and 1,000 guns lost in France, together with "all transport and armored vehicles." The Prime Minister frankly warned of imminent invasion of Paris and London.

"We shall defend our island home and outlive the menace of tyranny for years—***and, if necessary, alone,***" said Churchill.

"We shall not be content with a defensive war," the Prime Minister significantly added. This is reminiscent of Chamberlain's notice to Germany on January 9th, to the effect that England was tired of inaction and foresaw a "grimmer phase of war."

Mr. Chamberlain denied that Great Britain intended to "annihilate the German people," but gave stern notice that continuation of the war would be at Germany's risk. Well, the war did continue and now Germany announces she will press the military advantage to a point that will leave no doubt of the ultimate victory in Europe.

Out of the Smoke



—Cartoonist Elderman in the Washington Post

In the face of 335,000 soldiers "rescued" from annihilation in Flanders, and who left all their fighting machines behind them in enemy hands, Mr. Churchill's announced determination to fight on for years, needs some clarification. Can these returned soldiers, without equipment, defend even England? Perhaps, they cannot.

Mr. Churchill—who said that if America had stayed out of the World War, England could have made a peace two years sooner—now calls for United States' assistance. His demand is echoed in the English press. The New York correspondent of the *London News Chronicle* misinforms the people of England as follows:

"Outside Washington every indication is that the people *are as anxious now to see the United States join the effort to defeat Hitler as before they were anxious not to join it.*"

British Press Sees U. S. Eager to Help

And the Washington correspondent of the London *Daily Telegraph* openly attacks the U. S. Secretary of War as a "little Kansas isolationist whom political mischance placed at the head of the country's war department at the most critical stage of world history."

American public opinion, so the British press tells England, is going to break down Mr. Roosevelt's reluctance to get into the war!

Now, these things do not make sense. The Yanks are not coming over to save England, and Churchill knows it. The defeated English army, perhaps, cannot save London, and Churchill knows it. SOCIAL JUSTICE previously has said, and makes bold to say again, that *British imperialism would sacrifice even England.*

On December 2, 1823, President Monroe solemnly declared the American continents to be no longer a field for colonization by European powers. This *"Monroe Doctrine"* was a clear statement of America's foreign policy.

Monroe Doctrine States Our Policy

Now, outside students of history, few persons may remember that President Monroe's declaration was an alternative to a British demand that the United States join the empire in a note of protest to the other governments of Europe. Mr. Monroe refused to join in Great Britain's arrangement. Instead, he issued his famous message to the effect that the United States ***would stay out of European affairs*** and ***would view with disapproval*** any attempt by European powers to interfere in the political system of any American nation.

Now, Mr. Monroe's "doctrine" was not backed up by any action of the Congress; it was not "accepted" by European powers; and it never had the force of "international law." But, for one hundred years, it has stood as notice to the world of America's foreign policy. President Wilson, we recall, made a specific exception to the doctrine when he proposed that we join the League of Nations, but the people's Congress repudiated Mr. Wilson.

President Roosevelt has, inferentially, extended the doctrine; particularly in his speech which promised that the United States will defend Canada if attacked by any power. The preceding sentence of the same speech recognized Canada as part of the British Empire.

Under the *British North America Act* of July 1, 1867, a confederation of Canadian provinces formed the "Dominion of Canada"—a sovereign and independent nation within the British Commonwealth of Nations.

Thus, if the British empire should relinquish England and move its capital to Ottawa, the United States would appear to be, by the commitment of our Chief Executive, in this war as a defender of the British empire.

Earlier than either the *Monroe Doctrine* or the *British North America Act*, the *Rush-Bagot Convention Agreement* (April, 1817) disarmed the boundary between Canada and the United States. This treaty was "strained but not abrogated" by Great Britain's partisanship for the Southern Confederacy during the American Civil War. But, for more than 120 years, the unfortified boundaries between Canada and the United States have stood as an example to all the world of the possibility of friendly relations between two great nations, and proof of the practicability of the peaceful settlement of disputes.

Our Community of Interest With Canada

The people of this nation have a great and abiding friendship for our Canadian neighbors. Americans long have welcomed every overture toward closer relations with Canada. Such great leaders as the late Sir Wilfrid Laurier, and many an American statesman, have been outspoken in favor of reciprocity and the breaking down of customs barriers.

Even with a "King of Canada" resident in Ottawa, our two friendly peoples might still cooperate in our good neighbor association. But, if the misfortunes of war should suddenly transform the Dominion of Canada into the capital of the British Empire, the same open borders would prevail.

In such event, will Canada "absorb" the United States?

Will the United States absorb Canada?

Would the fine and friendly peoples of both countries be exploited by the same internationalists whose policies have already ruined England?

Or, better still, can we co-operate to throw off their yoke and work out a New World economy that will keep America for all of us Americans?

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT SAID:

The Road to War

The accompanying chronology is written directly from *"The Public Papers and Addresses of Franklin D. Roosevelt"* (published by Random House, New York).

From the earliest intimations of a good neighbor policy to the last acts preparing America for war, the steps are outlined for the readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE, as much as possible from the President's own words—without comment or embellishment.

From the early conversations dealing with international good will, the World Economic Conference, and disarmament, the path to war is traced through the last seven historic years.

April 6, 1933

President Roosevelt invited Ramsey MacDonald to visit the United States to discuss the world economic situation.

April 12, 1933

President Roosevelt addressed a special session of the governing board of the Pan-American Union on the celebration of Pan-American Day at Washington. Said the President: "The celebration of Pan-American Day in this building, dedicated to international good will and cooperation, exemplifies a unity of thought and purpose among the peoples of this hemisphere. It is a manifestation of the common ideal of mutual helpfulness, sympathetic understanding and spiritual solidarity."

A Talk With Britain

April 22, 1933

The President and Prime Minister MacDonald conferred on the main problems of the World Economic Conference about to be held in London.

World price levels, Central Bank policies, monetary standards, exchange restrictions, improvement of the status of silver and, in addition, a number of world problems relating to trade, and particularly the limitation of trade restrictions, were covered in the conference. The increase in the general level of commodity prices was recognized as primary and fundamental.

Central Banks should, by concerted action, provide an adequate expansion of credit and every means should be used to get the credit thus created into circulation.

"We must, when circumstances permit, re-establish an international monetary standard which will operate successfully without depressing prices and avoid the repetition of the mistakes which have produced such disastrous results in the past," the Prime Minister told the President. In this connection the question of silver, which is of such importance in trade with the Orient, was discussed and proposals were tentatively suggested for the improvement of its status.

France in Economic Alliance

April 28, 1933

The President discussed the French debts with M. Herriot of France and the two issued a joint statement. The conference dealt with the raising of world prices by diminishing all sorts of impediments to international commerce, such as tariffs, quotas and exchange restrictions, by the re-establishment of normal financial and monetary situations. It dealt with the improvement of the status of silver.

"The world-wide suffering of millions of unemployed demands without delay that this collaboration, which has been so happily begun here, should be continued."

Canada and United States

April 29, 1933

In a joint statement by the President and Prime Minister Bennett on economic and monetary policies it was announced that economic and monetary policies must be adjusted to permit a freer international exchange of commodities, that an international monetary standard must be restored, that none of the problems can be properly dealt with in isolation from the others nor can any single country accomplish a satisfactory solution. The President said:

"We also have discussed the problems peculiar to the United States and Canada. We have agreed to begin a search for means to increase the exchange of commodities between our two countries, and thereby promote not only economic betterment on the North American continent but also the general improvement of world conditions."

The Power of Credit

May 6, 1933

The President and Finance Minister Jung of Italy issued a joint statement that a fixed measure of exchange values must be re-established in the world "and we believe that this measure must be gold." That the obstacles to the flow of international commerce must be removed. That governments must employ such means as are at their disposal to relieve the unemployed by public works. That these efforts of individual governments will achieve their fullest effect if they can be made a part of a synchronized program. That the Central Bank of the nations should provide adequate expansion of credit to support constructive work, avoiding illegitimate speculative purposes.

The President and Germany

May 12, 1933

The President and Dr. Schacht of Germany issued a joint statement: "In our conference I have been guided by the hope that the World Economic and Monetary Conference may be successful. Quick and far-reaching solutions are necessary to save the economic life of the world. We are convinced that this aim can not be achieved unless, along with economic disarmament there is military disarmament."

Appeal for World Peace

May 16, 1933

The President issued an appeal to the nations of the world for peace by disarmament and for the end of economic chaos.

Two conferences planned world peace for "the improvement of social conditions, the preservation of individual human rights and the furtherance of social justice are dependent upon these decisions."

The World Economic Conference must stabilize currency, free the flow of world trade and raise price levels by international action.

The World Disarmament Conference having met for over a year, it aims at a durable peace for our generation in every part of the world.

President Roosevelt asked the reasons for armaments which, "in spite of the lessons and tragedies of the World War, are today a greater burden on the peoples of the earth than ever before."

The President announced his question by saying that the reasons are two-fold. "First, the desire, disclosed or hidden, on the part of governments to enlarge their territories at the expense of a sister nation and I believe that only a small minority of governments or of peoples harbors such a purpose; second, the fear of nations that they will be invaded. I believe that the overwhelming majority of peoples feel obliged to retain excessive armaments because they fear some act of aggression against them and not because they themselves seek to be aggressors.

"There is justification for this fear. Modern weapons of offense are vastly stronger than modern weapons of defense. Frontier forts, trenches, wire entanglements, coast defenses—in a word, fixed fortifications—are no longer impregnable to the attack of war planes, heavy mobile artillery, land battleships called tanks, and poison gas.

"The ultimate objective of the Disarmament Conference must be the complete elimination of all offensive weapons, now under discussion at Geneva, is but a first step toward our ultimate goal. We do not believe that the proposed immediate steps go far enough. Nevertheless, this Government welcomes the measures now proposed and will exert its influence toward the attainment of further successive steps of disarmament."

The President proposed ***that all the nations of the world enter a solemn and definite pact of non-aggression and individually agree that they will send no armed force of whatever nature across their frontiers.***

All nations replied with full appreciation and hearty agreement with every thought expressed by the President.

China

May 18, 1933

The President and Finance Minister Soong of China issued a joint statement containing the thought that it was essential that the price of silver, the great medium of exchange of the East, should be enhanced and stabilized.

Brazil

May 25, 1933

The President issued a joint statement with S. F. de Assis on the servicing of loans, on exchange control and on non-discrimination between nations.

Japan

May 27, 1933

The President and Viscount Ishii of Japan issued a joint statement respecting the free flow of trade and capital, a higher price and stabilization of silver.

The British Debt

June 14, 1933

The President announced that he had had informal discussions concerning the British debt with the British Ambassador even before his inauguration.

Norman Davis

June 29, 1933

At Campobello Island, New Brunswick, Canada, in an informal speech to old friends, the President stated that he was glad to have had with him the American delegate to the Disarmament Conference in Geneva, Mr. Norman Davis, "because he will go back to Geneva and will be able to tell them that he has seen with his own eyes what a border line without fortifications means between two great nations."

In his own note following the remarks, the President stated that Campobello had been the Summer home of his family for many years.

Larger Objectives

July 3, 1933

In a wireless to the London Conference the President insisted that mere currency stabilization should give way to the larger objectives.

"The world will not long be lured by the specious policy of achieving a temporary and probably an artificial stability in foreign exchange on the part of a few large countries."

Russia

October 10, 1933

President Roosevelt opened the door to the recognition of Russia by an exchange of letters with Mikhail Kalinin.

November 16, 1933

Formal relations were established between the United States and Russia.

Peace of Peoples

December 28, 1933

In an address before the Woodrow Wilson Foundation entitled *"From Now On War By Governments Shall Be Changed to Peace By Peoples,"* President Roosevelt reiterated the pledge of Woodrow Wilson enunciated at Mobile in 1913, "that the United States will never again seek one additional foot of territory by conquest."

President Roosevelt in addition stated that "the definite policy of the United States from now on was one opposed to armed intervention."

League of Nations

On the *Treaty of Versailles* the President said:

"In this place about fifteen years ago, the imagination of the masses of world population was stirred, as never before, by President Wilson's gallant appeal to them—to those masses—to banish future war. His appeal meant much to them, but it meant little to the imagination or the hearts of a large number of the so-called statesmen who gathered in Paris to assemble a treaty of so-called peace in 1919. I saw that with my own eyes. I heard that with my own ears. Political profit, personal prestige, national aggrandizement attended the birth of the League of Nations, and handicapped it from its infancy."

Export-Import Bank

February 2, 1934

The Export-Import Bank of Washington was created by *Executive Order No. 6581.*

Reciprocal Trade Agreements

March 2, 1934

The President requested authority from Congress to consummate reciprocal trade agreements for the revival of foreign trade.

(Continued on Page 18)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

You're in the Army Now!

'For Fear of the Axis, You're Paying in Taxes'

IF YOU'RE feeling cross and out of sorts, don't arbitrarily blame something you ate, the weather, your boss or your in-laws. It may be a severe case of tax shock—a realization that you're in the army now, insofar as your wages are concerned.

Recruiting began with announcement of a proposed "super-tax," suggested as a means of raising $656-million annually for national defense in the next 5 years.

It was intensified when the House Ways and Means Committee, last week, abandoned the $656-million figure and increased it to $1-billion. Under the approving eye of the Administration the Committee also voted to boost the national debt limit to $49-billion instead of $48-billion as originally planned.

At this writing, proposed appropriations for President Roosevelt's gigantic preparedness program total about $4½-billion—all, or most of which, is expected to be paid by you through increased taxes.

Today, without this added burden, taxes already devour between one-fourth and one-third of an average worker's income.

But Congress and the President are united in their move for still greater taxes. It must be admitted that this is preferable to rash borrowing from interest-seeking bankers.

But the frantic efforts of Washington statesmen to secure this $1-billion a year by taxation reminds one of an improvident tosspot who suddenly balks at the terrific expense of a gallon of gasoline for his $10,000 Rolls Royce—bought by hocking his grandmother's jewels.

After nonchalantly adding about $23-billion to the national debt in 7 years, the Administration and Congress suddenly seems to have realized that $1-billion, or even $656-million is a fistful of money.

To be sure it is not "hay." The people of the United States will discover that slowly, but painfully, when they begin handing it over to the Government, in the form of steeper income taxes, excise taxes on a variety of articles ranging from radios to cigarettes, and other taxes levied principally on business.

10 Per Cent 'Up' Added to Income Tax

Under the original $656-million plan proposed by Representative Robert L. Doughton, chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee, those now affluent enough to pay income tax will have the patriotic privilege of paying 10 per cent more. This increase also will apply to capital stock tax, estate tax, gift tax, excess profits tax and personal holding company tax.

While the latter taxes probably are directed more or less according to the old social justice principle of "ability to pay," proposed increases in excise and "nuisance" taxes are not.

Fortunately, food and clothing would be exempted from further levies by terms of the *Doughton Bill;* however, that is not news warranting any jubilant hat-throwing, inasmuch as both of these necessities already bear numerous burdensome but hidden taxes which the consumer pays—in addition to sales taxes in many states.

Exactly where and to what extent the new taxes will fall is not yet known, for the House Committee did not elaborate sufficiently on its revised plan. An idea may be gained, however, from the schedule set up under the first money-raising proposal, *since increased by about 65 per cent.*

Motor Car Owner Who Smokes Will Pay

With the original *Doughton Bill* in effect a man in Michigan buying a $1,000 automobile would pay $35 Federal tax instead of $30 as at present. That represents an increase of ½ per cent over the existing 3 per cent rate. That, of course, would be in addition to his 3 per cent, or $30, state sales tax.

Assuming the man uses 10 gallons of gasoline a week, his Federal gas tax would jump from $5.20 a year to $7.80 by virtue of the levy increase from 1 to 1½ cents a gallon. That, too, would be over and above the state tax, which in this case might approximate $20.

On automobile parts he would donate to the Federal Government 2½ per cent instead of 2 per cent of their cost; on oil, 4½ per cent instead of 4; and probably he would bear the expense of a similar rise in the transportation tax on oil. He would pay 5½ per cent rather than the current 5 per cent tax in the event he bought a radio.

Should he wish to surprise his wife with a new $112 refrigerator, the Federal Government would take $6.16 for its cut instead of $5.60 as at present. That rate also increases from 5 to 5½ per cent.

If he smokes a package of cigarettes a day, he would pay the Government about $25.55 a year for the privilege instead of the $21.90 he now pays. His cigars also would cost more.

Baseball Tickets, Gas Bill Also Share

Both he and his wife would contribute 11 instead of 10 per cent of the amount they spend for toilet preparations. An identical tax would be assessed against them for dues and initiation fees, for admission to the ball park, the boxing match or other places of amusement.

Their electric tax bill would increase from the present 3 per cent to 3½ per cent.

Unless both are teetotalers they could be expected to share the rise in beer tax from $5 to $6 a barrel, on distilled spirits from $2.25 to $3 a gallon, on brandy from $2 to $2.75 a gallon, or on wine—tax for the latter varying but approximating a 20 per cent increase.

Boosts in other taxes, averaging about 10 per cent, would be levied on transfer of stocks and bonds, firearms, automobile tires, matches, truck chassis, insurance policies, safe deposit boxes wholesale and retail liquor dealers and brewers.

Loaf of Bread Already Carries 53 Taxes!

It must be remembered the taxes enumerated above do not constitute by any means the only taxes on those items. Innumerable hidden assessments have been added in the long process from raw material to finished product. Food and clothing are especially hard hit in this manner. It has been estimated, for example, that ***a loaf of bread carries 53 separate taxes by the time it is sold to you by the grocer.***

You, the ultimate consumer, invariably pay all these hidden taxes, though you usually are unaware of their existence. In addition, as previously stated, residents of many states also pay a 3 per cent sales tax for practically everything they buy, including food and clothing.

As though these and the proposed Doughton taxes were not enough, the Ways and Means Committee last week also tentatively approved a program for broadening income taxes. This would supplement the straight 10 per cent increase already proposed. It would reduce exemptions for married persons from the present $2,500 to $2,000, and for single persons from $1,000 to $800. In effect it would lower purchasing power for a large group of Americans.

Whether they will materialize remains to be seen, but there have also been reports of a program planned to tax at their source, incomes of more than $15 a week.

At Least We Can See These New Taxes

Distasteful as they are, the host of new taxes growing out of the current war hysteria have one commendable aspect and should produce one salutary effect. They are "honest" taxes! That is to say, they are *visible* instead of *hidden.* For that reason, people will now be conscious of their greatly increased tax load and probably in time, will be aroused to the point of demanding an accounting in Federal expenditures.

Senator Harry F. Byrd of Virginia already has suggested cutting all Federal appropriations, except those for national defense and for interest on bonds, by 10 per cent. That would be as welcome as peace in Europe. It would be a start in the right direction.

That it will be achieved, however, is questionable, in view of President Roosevelt's assertion that he doubts whether more than $250-million or $300-million could be slashed off next year's budget.

Meanwhile, American taxpayers, you're in the army now—to the tune of $1-billion a year!

Adequate national defense the nation certainly needs. Strange, though, is the sudden solicitude for raising $1-billion almost immediately by increased taxation. Compared with other New Deal expenditures, $1-billion is a mere *bagatelle.* Under the Roosevelt regime, Americans have been treated to the spectacle of a national debt approaching $45-billion—*or about $340 for every man, woman and child in the country.*

No one in the Administration has seemed greatly concerned as to where that $45-billion was coming from. Last year, the Federal Government blandly voted appropriations of $10-billion, while state and local governments succeeded in disposing of about $8-billion more.

This year Federal appropriations probably will approach $14-billion, including emergency defense measures. Present Federal taxes yield only about $5-billion annually.

If the proposed tax increases mean anything they mean the Administration finally is becoming jittery over the ever-increasing national debt; and that it intends to tax and tax rather than curtail expenses.

YOU'RE IN THE ARMY NOW,
YOU'RE NOT BEHIND A PLOW;
FOR FEAR OF THE AXIS,
YOU'RE PAYING IN TAXES:
YOU'RE IN THE ARMY NOW!

WE'RE NOT IN WAR, IT'S TRUE,
BUT YOU, AND YOU, AND YOU,
ARE AWARE OF THE FACTS—
YOU'RE PAYING THE TAX:
YOU'RE IN THE ARMY NOW!

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Old War Horse Advises Nation to Stock Up on a New 'Secret Weapon'

EDITOR'S NOTE: Major General Howitzer Bombshell Alarm has been coaxed out of retirement to explain, as only an expert can, the most pressing defense needs confronting the United States.

All readers more than 87 years old will recall that General Alarm covered himself with immortality, glory and gravy in the Civil War, when he saved the lives of his entire regiment by shooting the cook.

This was not his only exploit, however. This cynical old soldier is known and loved, wherever "*Hinky-Dinky-Parley-Voo*" is sung, for the severe wound he sustained in a daring strategic maneuver. He was stabbed in the arm with a fork when he grabbed the last pork chop at the Army-Navy banquet of 1906.

As for the rest of his military record, General Alarm was kicked in the head by a mule in the Plattsburg maneuvers of 1912; was shot in the back while stealing chickens, and won the *Croix de Guerre* in a poker game with two second lieutenants. We caution you not to take General Alarm too seriously. He's kind of a silly old thing.

By Major General Howitzer Bombshell Alarm, Retired
Former Commandant, Sixth Division,
Underground Balloon Corps, U.S.A.

NATIONAL DEFENSE is the most important single issue facing this nation today, with the possible exception of the Army-Navy game next fall.

And as I was telling the boys over at the Burr and Saddle Club the other day, we've got to get this national defense problem licked now, so that we won't have a war on our hands before game time in November.

First of all in planning for national defense, we must recognize that the day of the airplane has passed. This 50,000-airplanes-now stuff is the bunk. The airplane today is as useless as a cavalry regiment on a reconnaissance flight.

A new weapon confronts the world today—a weapon so fearful that it has brought about the collapse of Norway, Holland, Luxembourg and Belgium, and now threatens France and England.

Marvelous Weapon Won Every Battle!

This weapon was invented by Hitler. He invented it in his Danzig speech last fall. He called it just a "secret weapon."

To date, it has won in every major engagement. While the airplane passed out after the siege of Warsaw in September, the new "secret weapon" has gone on, and on, and on, and now is turned toward Central France and the British Isles.

What this weapon is, nobody knows except Hitler and the newspapers, but even the newspapers aren't sure.

First, the newspapers said the "secret weapon" was the magnetic mine. But the British electrified their boats, so the newspapers said the "secret weapon" was something else. They said it was "nerve gas" that dropped its victims off to sleep until the Nazis had stolen their guns.

What's 'Secret Weapon'? Ask the Newspapers

Then the newspapers said it was the "fifth column" of traitors abetted by parachute troops. Then it was a "flame-throwing tank that fried the enemy with fiery gusts." Then it was a "flying tank" carried by airplane and dropped into the enemy's garden, breakfast nook or garage, at which point the Nazis would pop out and capture everybody.

Lastly, the newspapers have told us that the "secret weapon" is nothing but fear—plain, old, jittery fear—created by Hitler out of his threats and *blitzkrieg*.

So, if it's fear, we've got it! We're loaded down with it. We live it, eat it, breathe, drink and sleep it. We're fearful of the Nazis, fearful of Italy, fearful of the Reds, fearful of the New Deal, fearful of the Republicans, fearful of ourselves.

Mr. Roosevelt long ago indicated that this "secret weapon" was the most dangerous of all when he told the nation: "All we have to fear is fear itself." Since then he has scared the pants off us with his "aggressors" speech, his brand of "neutrality," and his bombing-plane timetables from Berlin to all points west.

Now then, we might just as well scrap all our tanks, bombs, shrapnel, gas, airplanes, guns, and blitzkrieg.

What we must do now, instead of building obsolete dreadnaughts, bombing planes, coast defense guns and heavy artillery, is to load up on this "secret weapon." We must turn Mr. Roosevelt—and his ability to create fear—loose upon an overconfident Europe. Let him scare Hitler's mustache off, and cause hair to rise on Mussolini's bald pate, with a frightful, spine-curling speech. This ought to insure our defense with the minimum premium.

There is another angle to the war, however—Britain's angle. Undaunted by reverses, the British announce they will yet win the war with their own peculiar weapon—*American credit*—the same weapon that won the World War.

Why Not Use These Weapons Ourselves?

On every side we hear it whispered. "Give Britain American credit and they'll beat Hitler down to a stump. Then we won't have to do it at all."

Now, if we have a corner on this magic weapon, it would seem wise to keep it for ourselves. If it is all-conquering, surely Hitler would hesitate to advance against it. If he were so foolhardy, surely we soon could make him holler "uncle," or whatever it is Hitler hollers when he is blitzed.

At any rate, America seems quite safe so long as it has inexhaustible supplies of war's best tools—"fear," the "secret weapon," and American credit.

Why spend billions for all the obsolete junk of war? Why borrow more billions than the bankers can print for the weapons of yesteryear? Let's get this national defense job done in the right way, and get down to the Army-Navy game next fall. I'm offering Army and six points. Any takers?

In the Lighter Vein

THE WORLD IS taking itself too seriously. That's one of the things wrong with it. This page is something in the lighter vein. It is simply the finger of irony, humor or satire attempting to trace a path through a maze of events studded with tragedy.

If you like it—fine. If you don't—we're just as sorrowful as you are about the whole proposition.

Gee Whiz Quiz!

MAYBE THIS "bomby" weather of early summer is responsible; maybe not. Anyhow, here's another of those brainless brain teasers designed to torture reason and sanity. The questions are given first, the answers right after, but it's no fair peeking until you've given them a try. Score yourself any way you wish so that you can total 100. There are no prizes, no awards, no nothing.

QUESTIONS

1. What has happened to the "Veterans of Future Wars"?

2. When George Washington said the United States should avoid entangling foreign alliances, what did he mean?

3. What is wrong with the celebrated phrase uttered by Charles C. Pinckney, "Millions for defense," etc.?

4. What famous but obscure political refugee now seeks admittance to the United States?

5. If you were an editor, laying out a feature page, where would you place Miss Dorothy Thompson's stuff?

6. Judging from the propaganda blasts from both sides, what are we to believe of the training of military aviators?

7. What are unemployment, debt, political graft, corruption, farm relief, work relief, dole and starvation?

8. If you were Earl Browder, what would you do?

9. Now that the President has appointed his National Defense Commission composed of civilians, what is the next big step?

10. In a program of "quarantining the aggressors," where should we start?

ANSWERS

1. Now that a war is blazing, they've gone into hiding with the goldfish swallowers.

2. We always thought he meant what he said, but now Dr. Albert Weinberg says he meant we should *form alliances* with European powers.

3. It's wrong on the amount. It's billions — not millions — for defense. Today's defense costs make Ambassador Pinckney a piker.

4. That tired, old, beaten campaigner the League of Nations, believe it or not.

5. In the "fifth column," of course. She advocates scrapping the presidential election.

6. We are led to believe that they are trained, carefully trained, to aim for and hit only women, children and hospitals, and *never* soldiers or military objectives.

7. Purely domestic problems of no importance to the Administration.

8. Beat it.

9. To keep them away from the blundering politicians in and out of the Cabinet.

10. With Harold Ickes.

Nothing but War News in the Papers?

THE COMPLAINT is common among Americans today that the newspapers contain nothing but screaming war news.

To a large extent, this is true. In many of their editions, today's newspapers look for all the world like a blood-soaked rag.

With a little searching, however, the old kind of news—pre-war news—can be turned up. For instance, down in Houston, Texas, David Guiterraz is nursing bumps on his head. These bumps David inflicted on himself by hammering on his shaved noggin in an effort to convince a jury he was crazy.

The verdict? Sane as thrift, and guilty of burglary as charged.

And in Pueblo, Colorado, Police Chief J. A. Grady has nothing more serious to complain about than that the bar flies of today aren't as smart as those of yesterday. In the olden days, he complains, they dropped off to sleep, but kept one foot swinging automatically. This fooled the bartender, who was bent on enforcing the house rule that all inebriates be tossed out.

But now the bar flies aren't as clever, and the bartenders aren't as particular. So complains Chief Grady.

In Kansas a man found out it is against the law to eat snakes or lizards in public, but it is permissible to eat them at home if you wish.

In Pittsburgh the International Itinerant Workers Union — bums to you—under spur of a patriotic impulse, has offered its services to the Department of Justice to aid in ferreting out spies in this country. The next tramp you feed may be a G-Man, or vice-versa.

Meanwhile in Brooklyn James McGibbney has been sentenced to ten days in the cooler upon his 63rd conviction on charges of drunken and disorderly conduct. James is repentant, however, and muttered as he was being dragged into *durance vile* for the 63rd time:

"I'm beginning to believe it doesn't pay to drink."

Yes, the world isn't changing so much—it's you!

TROUBLE

AS IT MUST TO most men, sooner or later, "mother-in-law trouble" has come to Colonel Charles A. Lindbergh.

No sooner had Lindy broadcast his appeal to the nation to concentrate its attention on its own affairs and keep out of Europe, than Mrs. Dwight W. Morrow, Lindy's mother-in-law, took another stand.

She expressed herself publicly as favoring all possible help for the Allies, *now!* And she added that this holds good for herself and "members of my family."

Now, is Lindy a member of that family, or not?

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Inside Washington

Sale of Arms Jars United States Defense

Roosevelt-Jackson Deal Ends Neutrality Myth; Congress Not Consulted on Program

WASHINGTON, D. C.—President Roosevelt snipped the slender thread of United States neutrality last week and—in effect—made this nation an actual ally of Great Britain and France, although under the legal status of a non-belligerent

This became apparent in the National Capital as Army and Navy planes began taking off for Canada to be transferred to England. The U.S. planes were "sold" to the Allies under authority of an opinion by Attorney General Robert Jackson

In this the Attorney General had resort to a Federal statute of 1921 which permits sale of "surplus war materials." The Administration seeks a similar loophole to permit sale of Naval destroyers, Army tanks, guns, ammunition—in fact all instruments of national defense—to the Allies.

Proposal Shocks Nation by Secrecy of Execution

It seems apparent that from 700 to 1,000 United States planes out of regular Army and Navy equipment will be shunted to the Allies. Preserving the literal interpretation of our *Neutrality Act*, these planes and supplies are to be transported by the purchasing country in non-American vessels.

While this proposal, which shocked the nation upon its announcement, was still in the discussion stage, it was amply pointed out by Washington commentators that it amounted to scrapping this nation's neutral status.

Attorney General Jackson, it is understood, advised the President that the sale of America's defense materials might be accomplished without asking Congress for approval. Forthwith, and without granting Congress even the courtesy of discussion, at least 50 planes of the United States Navy were understood to have been started off for Europe.

Congress Gets No Voice in Plane 'Sell Out'

The danger of this policy is that it *puts this nation into the war*, for all practical purposes. Now that our own stocks of Army and Navy equipment are being turned loose on participants in the European war, it is illogical to think that enemies of the Allies will not take retaliatory action.

It has been freely predicted that if this arms-sale program were carried through, it meant *direct involvement* in the European war by the United States.

Most discouraging note of the entire plane deal, as finally announced, was that Congress—the nation's sole hope for a constitutional check upon the Executive — was not even told about the matter

But the question Mr Roosevelt must answer to the satisfaction of an outraged electorate is this: If the nation is as weak as he and his associates have said it is, in issuing their demands for billions for defense, how then can we afford to sell, or give away, a single rifle?

We have been led to believe the nation is so weak it would be easy prey for even a weak invader. What, then, is its present status, with so-called "surplus" equipment traded off to Britain and France?

Russia Grabs Off Materials Needed for War

THE NEWS: Soviet Russia has stepped up its purchases of United States products, including vital war materials, approximately 69 per cent during the first 7 months of the European War.

The figure is based on statistics appearing in the *New York Times*, and, according to the *Times*, emanating from the Department of Commerce.

COMMENT: The report shows that between last September, when the war began, and March 31, 1940, the Soviets had bought $88,705,072 worth of American commodities. During the corresponding period in 1938-39 their American purchases amounted to only $52,414,000. Much of the increase, said the *Times*, was in war necessities such as ferro-alloys and copper

The Administration frequently has proclaimed its animosity for Hitler, for Mussolini and for Stalin. It pushed through a one-sided Neutrality Act designed to aid France and England and hamper Germany. Since then it has openly allied itself with the Allies.

All possible aid "short of war" is being given France and England. None, of course, is being given to Hitler. However, the assistance to the Allies is excused on the basis that "we must help save democracy; help destroy totalitarianism."

So, in the course of this noble effort, what has occurred? Have we discouraged trade with the Communist destroyers of religion? Have we imposed on Stalin the same restrictions we have on Hitler?

No. Hitler probably is every bit the beast he has been painted. But Stalin is just as bad, if not worse.

The Administration lost little time revising the Neutrality Act when Hitler invaded Poland and came to blows with the Allies. To throttle his barbaric campaign the Act was so written as to make it practically impossible for Germany to buy American products.

Not so with godless Soviet Russia, where millions of Christians have been martyred. Even though Stalin snatched half of Poland, ruthlessly invaded Finland and subdued Latvia, Lithuania and Estonia, he still continues to enjoy the benefits of American trade—in war materials

This also despite the fact that agents of Soviet Russia prowl about the United States spreading Communistic propaganda in direct violation of the treaty by which this nation recognized Russia in 1933.

Our Own 'Maginot Line'



—Fitzpatrick in St. Louis Post-Dispatch

Congress Prepares to Leave Despite Nation's Challenge

WASHINGTON, D. C. — Racing toward adjournment and the national conventions, the final session of the 76th Congress is leaving behind little accomplishment save record-smashing spending-for-war—and taxation to pay for it.

Falling under the hysterical spell of the times, Congress has weakly acquiesced in every new armament adventure suggested by President Roosevelt, and seems sure to give blanket approval to the program of soaking the consumer and small wage-earner to pay for national defense.

The challenging domestic problems that confronted Congress at the beginning of its session are still with us. Little has been done to alleviate the basic condition that threw the nation into the "recession" from which it has failed to emerge. And little *will* be done about it in the future, because Congress can see only one thing: WAR!

Gave Okay to Sale of U.S. Merchant Fleet

Congress has enacted several bills in the present session, and most of them have to do with war in one way or another. Congress has failed to enact a host of other measures, having little connection with war.

Both Houses concurred in giving President Roosevelt power to conclude reciprocal trade agreements. They joined in extending Export-Import Bank loans to China and Finland under the spur of war. They agreed to freeze in this country the assets of Denmark, Norway, Finland, Holland, Belgium and Luxembourg that the invading Nazis might not seize them

Congress voted the sale of United States merchant vessels to other countries; authorized the sale of United States war materials to South America; hiked the penalties for spying and sabotage, and approved general appropriation measures.

Social Legislation Goes Into Early Discard

At the same time Congress gave tacit approval to the business of *blanking out* action on wage-hour legislation, liberalization of farm mortgages under the Jones-Wheeler bill, and failed to take decisive action on social security amendments, anti-chain store laws, domestic silver-purchase regulation, and regulation of investment trusts and bank holding companies.

In other words, ***Congress is in default to the voters.*** Members of the House and Senate were elected with the expectation they would seek an answer to the nation's major domestic problems. They have not done so. Instead they have traveled far on the road that leads to war.

They are answerable to the same voters sometime between now and November.

War Propaganda Makes Sane Men Killers!

"A LITTLE propaganda, a few songs about the glory of war, and civilized men soon become a horde of brutal killers."

That's how the road to war is paved, Senator D. Worth Clark of Idaho told Notre Dame University's 1940 graduates in a straight-from-the-shoulder address.

The Senator scored the Wilson administration for its part in pushing this nation into war. "No sooner had the torches of election night burned out than the war racketeers became busy," he said.

Senator Clark warned the graduates of the struggle they face in solving pressing domestic problems, if capitalism and democracy are to survive. These problems should detract our attention from the European war, he said.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

New Type Blockade Is Starving England

With Seas Still Open to British Navy, Sources of Food Held by Nazis

By Staff Correspondent of SOCIAL JUSTICE

WASHINGTON, D. C. — One of the most astonishing developments of the present war has been the blockade of England. It is a new type of blockade, made effective not by the use of any navy, but simply by the enemy's conquest of countries which constituted the British Isles' source of supply.

The world is familiar with the British blockade of Germany in the World War, a blockade that did not end with the Armistice. Indeed, its punishing effects lasted for at least six months after the Germans had laid down their arms. During these months Germany is reputed to have lost a million and a half of its civilian population—chiefly, women and children—through actual starvation!

Heretofore, it has been assumed that a blockade to be effective requires a navy powerful enough to surround a country and cut off its importation of necessary goods. In this war, Hitler's conquest in countries on which the English rely for supplies, has accomplished what in former days only a navy could achieve.

Essential Food Sources Hard Hit

England, or rather the United Kingdom, imports some $4,200,000,000 of goods annually. This is the particular figure for the year 1938.

Although the United Kingdom's chief imports are from the United States, Canada, Australia, India, New Zealand and South Africa, nevertheless, the British Isles relied for their food supply—farm products — upon the nearer nations of Denmark, the Netherlands, Belgium and the Scandinavian peninsula, all of them now controlled by Hitler's armies

Thus, in recent weeks England has lost Denmark as a source of $172-million worth of imports; the Netherlands a source of $133-million worth of imports, mostly food; and Belgium that annually supplied some $84-million worth

Here, then, is the paradox of the United Kingdom facing a critical problem of obtaining food while the sea lanes of the world are still open to her empire's navy. Just as Germany—in the World War and after—suffered for lack of food, so today England is experiencing the loss of her European sources of supply.

Creates Brief Boom for Exploited Ireland

Ireland, of course, is experiencing a sudden boom in its opportunity now to supply meat and dairy products to the United Kingdom, although Ireland and rural England as a source of these supplies, have, in times past, been most cruelly discriminated against in favor of the British exploiters and profiteers in foodstuffs imported from the Continent.

America has no surplus of dairy products, from which to supply her friend, Great Britain. We are ourselves an importer of meats. America made its choice in the field of foreign trade when we lifted the embargo and diverted all our normal peacetime trade into the channels of arms, munitions and implements of war.

A few weeks ago, England, in her desperation to maintain dollar balances with which to buy armament and equipment, virtually cancelled the United States as a source of supply for tobacco.

England Has Also Cancelled U. S. Cotton

Then, on May 28th, came the long expected news that England's purchases of cotton were to be so drastically curtailed as to imply the abandonment of this country as a source of cotton for English spindles.

The seriousness of this situation will not be fully understood for many months. Our largest agricultural surplus is cotton. In order to conserve British gold and to establish dollar balances for the purchase of war supplies, England turns to the inferior cotton from India. The American cotton farmer and the American tobacco farmer lose their primary markets.

These conditions were called to the attention of the United States Senate during the debate upon the Neutrality legislation. ***Southern Senators were warned, particularly, that lifting of the embargo would prove disastrous to the agricultural interests of the South.***

Thus, England has lost her primary source of agricultural food supplies by the conquests of Adolf Hitler. America has lost the English cotton and tobacco market by passing the *Neutrality Act of 1939*, which lifted the embargo on arms, munitions and implements of war.

England, too, has lost her traditional lifeline of empire, the Suez canal, and is diverting products of the Far East around the continent of Africa and thus seriously delaying needed imports from the Orient.

Charges New Deal Fails America 'on Four Fronts'

South Dakota Congressman Examines Administration's Foreign Record

THE HONORABLE Karl Mundt of South Dakota says: ". . . the Roosevelt administration has failed us fearfully on all four fronts."

"With a world on fire with war, we must look beyond the domestic scene to analyze the New Deal leadership and to evaluate its record. What of the foreign policy of the New Deal? What has been its success in foreign affairs? Has its failure on the domestic scene been offset by success in international relations or does the same death rattle of defeat plague its practices in that connection? These are no times for partisan attack. Let us examine the record carefully and well, and judge it fairly and faithfully by what we find. To do more than that would be unduly partisan: to do less would be shirking from our patriotic duty.

"To honestly evaluate the New Deal's record in foreign affairs we must first of all do more serious thinking about what we should want or expect of such a leadership than is our customary habit. What, may I ask, constitutes sound leadership in foreign affairs? What should Americans expect of their President in his relations with other nations? I have studied this question many years. I think I can suggest the answer and I give it to you for your consideration. It is simply this—sound American leadership in foreign affairs demands that the President project a policy which best protects the interests of America in the family of nations in which we live. To do more might lead to intervention, to meddling, and to war; to do less would be to neglect his duty to the most important member of the international family.

New Dealism Has Failed on Four Fronts

"Much as I wish the record were different for the welfare of America and the future of the world, I must submit that the Roosevelt administration has failed us fearfully on all four fronts in its policies affecting our international relations in this world crisis. As it has failed to provide security at home it has also failed at this crucial period to give us security from dangers from without.

"First, let us consider the preparedness front as a strong national defense is fundamental to American group security. During the more than 7 years of the New Deal, over $8-billion have been asked and given to perfect our national defense. With what results? On May 16, President Roosevelt addressed a joint session of Congress, asking for a billion dollars more for national defense, and painting a very sorry story about the status of our defense program. No man could hear that speech and feel that this country is well prepared. While billions have been spent and such experiments as the Florida ship canal and the late lamented Passamoquoddy project have been tried, money which should have been spent on protecting our Panama Canal and in modernizing our fortifications has been diverted.

$1-Billion Will Not Offset $8-Billion

"Military men for years have been asking that our defense program be modernized; that we streamline our military establishment to meet the methods and machinery of modern warfare. But after $8-billion have been spent, horse-and-buggy preparedness prevails and we are unprepared to protect ourselves with modern military equipment of today. Having taken to himself many of the prerogatives of Secretary of the Navy during much of his regime, and having influenced greatly the program of the Army, there is no one to whom the President can pass the blame for antiquated methods or unwisely expended funds. He has been in power since the very first days of Hitler's rule in Germany and has watched the growth of that military machine. But with good intentions always, he has failed to give us a modernized defense. Another billion dollars or another multi-billion-dollar appropriation will not solve our defense problem if we spend it by the same formula and with the same procedure used in spending the past eight billions. The time has come to re-examine our whole program of national defense, to find its holes and plug them up, to bring in experts in industry, as well as experts of the Army, Navy, and Air Force, and on a front where continued failure might prove so final and so fundamental . . .

"On the financial front, however, the President's failure is most definite. America's financial front is its first line of defense. And our first line of defense is admittedly our worst. With the billions spent by the New Deal in 7 squandering years, our national $45-billion debt limit has been reached—and passed. There is no denying that. There is no evading it. But spend we shall and spend we must because our national defense must be strengthened, streamlined, modernized, and that without delay. Developments abroad have united this country in a desire to stiffen our defense program with a realization that the best guarantee today that no American boy need ever again die on foreign battlefields is to make ourselves so strong that no boy need ever die defending his country on battlefields at home. But as a result of the New Deal's neglect of the first line of defense we face the dilemma today of having to improve our military equipment without imperiling our national economy.

Has Failed America on the Home Front

"On the home front, in his protection of American interests in foreign affairs, the President has also failed us seriously. A wise leadership, to safeguard America in a jealous and angry world, would unite our citizens in a fellowship of good will and friendly feeling between employers and employees, between farmers and city dwellers, between rich and poor. Of even greater importance, wise leadership in crucial times like these, would have divorced all Government offices and services from employment of Communists, bund members, parlor pinks, socialistic theorists, and other misdirected enthusiasts lacking faith and confidence in the American system. But the President has coddled these malcontents. Communists have headed movements given reception at the White House. Earl Browder, indicted and convicted communistic chief, was third-term advocate No. 1. Bund members have gained in number and increased in strength. While 'fifth column' leaders in other countries have sapped the vitals of national defense by secretive stealth, in America we have invited them into the headquarters staff, and, according to a statement made by Martin Dies on the floor of the House yesterday, we have virtually turned over to them our merchant marine.

"In his defense message to Congress the President rightfully called for a speed-up of American industrial production so we can build more planes and other implements of war and peace. Industry can and must meet that challenge. But what a sad commentary on these last 7 years that the greatest industrial nation of them all should now be criticized for insufficient industrial productivity. Were it not for the incessant sniping of New Deal theorists and the socialistic invasions of Government into business, America's industrial capacity would still lead the world. In spite of everything, I think it still does. If the driver will take off the brakes and turn on the green light, this country will jump into an industrial revival which all of Europe, both conquered, conquering, and unconquerable, cannot duplicate.

"Such a record on the home front reveals the partisan reasons for men like Ickes and Minton, like Wallace and McNutt, and others who are already gloatingly using the European situation as argument that a third term is now demanded or desired. For what reason? And by whom? Third termites are in bad taste in their hurried attempt to make political capital of our lack of national defense.

(Continued on Page 18)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Charges Government's Informer Was the Only Plotter of Crime

Defendant Bishop Tells Jury How F. B. I. Offered Him Freedom If He Would Implicate Others

NEW YORK, N. Y.—Sensation followed sensation in the two-month-old Brooklyn sedition trial last week as William Gerald Bishop underwent a 5-day grilling at the hands of his defense counsel, Emanuele Trotta, and United States Attorney Harold M. Kennedy.

In his direct examination, which began a fortnight ago, Bishop, last of the 14 defendants to take the stand, vigorously denied all accusations of conspiracy; charged Denis Healy, the Government informer, with deliberately attempting to incite the young men to crime; and insinuated that the F.B.I. had co-operated with Albert Chapereau (Shapiro), an alien gem smuggler recently freed from prison by Presidential pardon, in an effort to arrange a deal whereby Bishop would be set free upon signing a statement incriminating the other defendants.

Cross-examination of Bishop by the prosecution was equally eyebrow-raising. After the defendant had sworn under oath that he had been born in Salem, Massachusetts, Attorney Kennedy introduced document after document to prove that Bishop had, at various times before his arrest, given his birthplace as anywhere from Santa Barbara, California, to Geneva, Switzerland.

In 1933, Bishop told New York officials, according to a police report, that he had been born in Geneva. A year previously in an application for home relief, he had written down his birthplace as Santa Barbara. In his marriage application, in 1929, he had said he had been born in London. Another paper stated his birth had taken place in Boston.

Bishop Thought He Was Kidnapped

One of the most exciting parts in Bishop's colorful testimony came when he told a graphic story of his arrest and questioning by the F.B.I. On the evening of January 13, Bishop stated, he left his apartment and was approached on the street by a man who addressed him by name and made a gesture of shaking hands with him.

As he stretched out his hand toward the stranger, Bishop said, he was seized from behind by two F.B.I. agents. He was tightly handcuffed and bundled into a car waiting at the curb before he could utter a word. Inside the automobile, the agents refused to tell him why he had been seized, where he was being taken or who his captors were. Thinking he had been kidnapped, Bishop said he made a vain attempt to get out of the car.

At F.B.I. headquarters, Bishop went on, a doctor examined his wrists, bleeding profusely because of the tight handcuffs, and then agents began to pepper him with questions. He was asked if he was a Nazi spy, what rank he held in the German army and when he was going to start his "revolution."

Bishop said he laughed at the charges and told the agents that "they had evidently been sold a bill of goods and that the whole affair seemed like a comic opera." Twenty-two hours later, during which time he was not permitted to sleep, he was given a statement to sign and taken away to the Federal House of Detention, where, in solitary confinement, he was kept incommunicado for more than a week, not even being given permission to call a lawyer.

Charges F.B.I. Worked With Smuggler

About ten days after his arrest, Bishop charged, a man came to his cell and identified himself as Albert Chapereau, who at that time was serving a sentence for smuggling jewelry into the United States from Europe. Chapereau, the defendant related, told him that the other young men arrested for alleged conspiracy had all signed statements putting the whole blame on Bishop, and that if he wanted to protect himself he should communicate with the F.B.I. and confess.

What the F.B.I. particularly wanted to know, Bishop says Chapereau confided to him, ***was whether Father Coughlin had given money to the Christian Front and information about the Radio Priest's connections with John F. Cassidy, one of the defendants.*** If he would answer these questions and tell "all" he knew about the so-called conspiracy, Chapereau said the F.B.I. would release him, the witness testified.

"I asked Chapereau," Bishop then declared, "how he was in a position to tell me all this and how he was able to know the wishes of the F.B.I." Chapereau allegedly replied that many F.B.I. agents were his friends, that he went to F.B.I. headquarters nearly every day and that he was especially close to "Mr. Foxworth, chief G-man in New York."

Shortly after this interview Bishop stated he was summoned to F.B.I. headquarters and questioned by a Mr. Foxworth along the very same lines that Chapereau had foretold. Bishop said he told the G-man that he knew nothing of any relations between Father Coughlin and Cassidy, and added that he never had met the Radio Priest. He further testified that he asked Foxworth if the F.B.I. had actually joined hands with a convicted criminal like Chapereau in its case against the 14 young defendants. Mr. Foxworth, he said, declined to reply.

Has an Answer for Everything

Bishop remained on the witness stand longer than any other defendant because the prosecution had involved him in practically all of the alleged misdeeds committed by the accused men. According to testimony brought out by the Government, Bishop had urged and plotted the overthrow of the United States, had taken ammunition and powder out of Government arsenals, and had caused some of the defendants to make bombs which were supposedly to be used to blow up public buildings.

Questioned by his attorney, Bishop had a ready explanation for these charges. First, he declared that any statements made by him in reference to the overthrow of the Government were merely discussions of what Communists were planning to do.

Second, he admitted removing ammunition and powder from National Guard armories, but said that these munitions had been given him by Captain John T. Prout, Jr., to be used in target practice. As for the home-made bombs, Bishop declared that their purpose was to blast a projected rifle range in Hazelton, Pa., clear of rocks and tree stumps.

Charges Informer Counseled Crime

The only one of his followers, Bishop contended, who urged or contemplated the commission of illegal acts was Denis A. Healy, the planted informer who supplied evidence for the Government. At one time, it was alleged, Healy proposed that Bishop and a few others "bomb the British Consulate" in New York City.

On another occasion, Bishop said, Healy asked some of the defendants to accompany him to a National Guard camp in Roslyn, Long Island, and steal automatic rifles out of the ammunition shack. The Government's star witness, Bishop stated, was a member of the Guard unit stationed there and promised to pass the defendants out of the camp after they had stolen the weapons.

The other time that Healy urged the accused to commit a crime, Bishop declared, was during a get-together in the home of defendant Macklin Boettger when he offered to supply a truck if the others would use it to rob ammunition and guns from Camp Dix, New Jersey.

None of the defendants, Bishop declared, had ever even thought of following out Mr. Healy's schemes, but merely began to keep a close watch on him, suspecting that he might belong to the Communist Party or the I.R.A.

'Action Committee' Meant 'Anti-Communist'

Earlier in the trial many of the defendants had testified that Bishop had told them he was a member of a secret patriotic group of Army officers called the "Action Committee" and had been assigned by this group to aid in the organization of the so-called Christian Front. On the stand last week Mr. Bishop denied that there was any such group and said "Action Committee" was just a name he had derived from the word anti-communist, whose initials are also A.C.

Earlier testimony that Bishop had continually boasted of his high connections was substantiated somewhat by the defendant himself when he gave the names of various Army and National Guard officers with whom he claimed acquaintance. Two years ago in West Virginia, he declared, he had spoken before a select group of 16 local bigwigs among whom, he asserted, was the law partner of Assistant Secretary of War Louis Johnson.

One of the most significant effects of Bishop's long and interesting testimony is that it will probably necessitate the Government's recalling the informer, Denis Healy, to the stand to make a rebuttal. When this is over, the defense and prosecution will make their summations and the fate of the 14 will be placed in the hands of the jury.

War Hysteria Dooms Farmers' Last Hope of Aid in Congress

WASHINGTON, D. C.—The last faint hopes for any immediate legislative attack on the increasingly serious farm problem have been dispelled by a Congress and a President preoccupied with war hysteria.

Little chance remains that the fundamental errors of the *Agricultural Adjustment Act* and its administration will be wiped out in the current session. In all likelihood, the inconsistencies and irreconcilable conflicts in policy will continue to inflict themselves on American farmers.

Cost-of-production, the soundest plan yet devised to pull agriculture out of the muck of depression, has been side-tracked while national leaders concern themselves with the troubles of Europe.

Meanwhile the wholly inadequate, unproductive program concocted by the New Deal functions according to form.

Congressman Indicts Government Waste

Representative Noble J. Johnson of Indiana recently painted a graphic word-picture of how it works. He declared that millions of dollars are being wasted each year because of New Deal legislation which produces no real benefits to agriculture.

"First," he continued, "Congress appropriates about $500-million a year for controlling agricultural production through the Agriculture Department. The average farmer receives very limited amounts of this money.

"Second, Congress appropriates over $200-million a year to cut out and control production by parity payments; these payments go to cotton, corn, wheat, rice and tobacco farmers. Many states have never seen a dollar of this money . . .

"Third, Congress appropriates over $100-million a year to increase farm production by the Farm Security Administration which subsidizes new farmers and sets them up in the farming business."

If Mr. Johnson refers to the farm tenancy program, the program does not increase farm production. It merely permits capable *tenant* farmers to become farm *owners*.

Vast Spending Nicks the Taxpayers

"Fourth, Congress appropriates over $100-million a year to take farm surpluses off the market.

"Fifth, Congress continues to appropriate and provide $100-million to put more land under irrigation for the purpose of growing more crops and increasing the surpluses so more appropriations will be needed for control of surpluses.

"Sixth, the President reduced the tariff on farm products to an extent where over $4-billion worth of competitive agricultural products were imported during the last 7 years, at an average of over $500-million worth each year . . . This does not make sense. The farmers have had $3-billion in subsidies by the New Deal. They lost $4-billion worth of markets by imports. Their share of the increased debt is $6-billion, which they will have to repay, with interest, when the great day of reckoning comes.

"Year by year, month by month, and day by day, we see these follies in Congress. Last year while the Surplus Commodities Corporation was spending $30-million of public money in buying up and giving away surplus commodities, we imported $92-million of the same commodities from foreign countries . . .

"This seems to be a real agricultural merry-go-round and a real run-around for the taxpayers of America."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED



(SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally, the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used. We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.)

Credit Unions

TO THE EDITOR:

In the April 22nd edition of SOCIAL JUSTICE you saw fit to publish an article relative to our credit union here in St. Louis. Since you have published this article, we have received many inquiries from persons all over the United States asking for information on how they, too, can start a venture of this type.

Up to date our credit union has been very successful. In the past four months of operation our credit union has taken many of our members out of the hands of unscrupulous, blood-sucking loan sharks as well as prevented some of our members from becoming victims of the installment racket, and it looks very much like we will be able to pay our depositors a fair dividend on their savings with us.

For your information, one of the conditions for membership in our credit union is that the applicant must be a subscriber to the SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, either by mail or by being a regular weekly customer of a bundle subscriber. In this way, we have been instrumental in having people receive SOCIAL JUSTICE who were not receiving it before.

We wish to thank SOCIAL JUSTICE for printing this information in the magazine and hope through this publicity many other organizations will establish a credit union. To our mind, this is indeed a practical application of social justice and a rediscovery of the truth that man is his brother's keeper.

—Social Justice Credit Union
J. H. Fleming, Secretary
St. Louis, Mo.

Men Like Ford

TO THE EDITOR:

After having read the *Chicago Daily Tribune* of Wednesday, May 29th, we should thank God there are men like Henry Ford still active in this land of ours.

On the front page I note the appointment by the President of Sidney S. Hillman to the nation's Defense Staff, whose duties it will be to coordinate employment on armaments and the training of the apprentices of our youth of the National Youth, Civilian Conservation Corps, etc.

Then turning to page 3 of the same daily we note an interview of Henry Ford, who stated that "if it becomes necessary, the Ford Motor Company could—with the counsel of men like Lindbergh and Rickenbacker—swing into the production of a thousand planes of standard design a day." He also stated the cost "could be reduced to one-eighth of what they now sell for."

What would the cost be to our people under the supervision of Sidney S. Hillman? Does this not smell of Communism unadulterated in our present Government set-up?

God give us courage in our present predicament and may the dark clouds of today turn to sunny days of economic freedom tomorrow.

—Ray M. Fidler
Aurora, Ill.

Substitution!

TO THE EDITOR:

The people of the United States of America are being humbugged out of a Republican form of government before their very eyes.

Peasant-like, we follow the two-headed dragon with one and the same body—Republican and Democratic parties, instead of asserting the sovereignty of the people.

In my opinion, a "good government party," shoving bossism out of the picture and paying no attention to taunts of "traitor" or "Fifth Column" could be formed in every county and lick the socks off bossism with the greatest of ease. Bossism is so wrong in principle that it would collapse in 24 hours, if some child had the naivete to prick the balloon of that form of government which substitutes its own will for that of the people.

Not representation, but substitution.

—John R. Salisbury
Detroit, Mich.

People See the Causes

TO THE EDITOR:

I have no love for Hitler, but to be honest, you will have to respect a man who stands by his country and his people regardless of whether he is right or wrong.

Seven years ago Hitler took over Germany, which was then a starving nation, and since that time he has driven the international bankers out of the country and has established a barter system which is a credit to him and which no other nation can understand. The people in Germany must be satisfied or they would not stand by him and fight for him the way they do; and from all accounts, they are not fighting this war begrudgingly. Germany's soldiers are well trained and its war equipment is such the world has never before seen—nor even imagined. Hitler did not put his unemployed on the dole or the W.P.A. and have them waste time and materials doing nothing. Hitler is, and always was, against the international bankers.

The people in this country are not blind to the way things are going today ***and they also see what is the cause of it all and what was the cause of the war***—and there is no other reason only the international bankers who are trying to keep the poor people and the working class right under their thumb and corner all the money.

If this nation had practiced the economy that Germany did, and was as concerned over its own country and people, we would have found plenty of useful and profitable work for the unemployed. It might have been better to have given the C.C.C. boys a military training than to have them spending their time in parks listening to Communistic propaganda.

Sioux City, Iowa **—P. J. Donnelly**

Forum of the Air

TO THE EDITOR:

After listening to tonight's "American Forum of the Air" I am not surprised at what we get in our newspapers.

Mr. Sherman J. Bainbridge certainly gummed up the Forum with his question as to why the President did not print the $3-billion he has the power to print. Imagine six brilliant newspaper men not knowing that the President holds the power given him by Congress to print $3-billion in lawful United States money, and that this power has recently been renewed! That does not mean the $2-billion Stabilization Fund, either.

They yell "inflation." Just why should it be inflationary for the Government to print debt-free money? Is it less inflationary to pay tribute to the bankers for the same money? Just why should the American people be forced to pay tribute to private concerns on their own credit?

Of course they do not find it inflationary to finance this war over a long period; look at the tribute the bankers collect.

Greenbacks! I wonder if any one of those men know the greenbacks issued by President Lincoln have saved the American people over $12-billion in interest. Of course they like long term financing, some bonds from our early wars are still out and we are still paying interest on them, but did you hear them squawk last Sunday about having to pay a pension until 1905 to the last soldier from some early war.

"If the Government can issue a bond it can issue a dollar bill."

No wonder these six men stuttered and stammered over the question!

The more I hear on this defense program the more it sounds like the Bankers' and Munition Makers' last stand, their last opportunity to get something for nothing. *Adequate national defense* we all want, but let us know what we are to defend.

After hearing Colonel Lindbergh's address, would advise the critics who took a crack at him to study it.

—Miss C. M. Stocks
San Mateo, Calif.

Have Powers of Darkness a Hand in Both Sides?

TO THE EDITOR:

World society is being viciously attacked by secret subversive groups, which can only flourish in darkness, and which are, in the last analysis, agents of the Prince of Darkness. These secret groups cannot stand the searchlight of truth.

The effects of their operations are all around us—mass poverty, needless suffering, criminal intrigues, heartless financial combines, plundering and cynical speculations, wars, and an economic crisis that can only end with a staggering bond debt and millions chained to usury.

The so-called Western world has been slowly de-Christianized—a form of persecution far more subtle than fire and sword of destructive war.

The set-up of the subversive world is strikingly akin to the human body: There are societies, fair to behold, oftentimes with "liberal" objectives, but just as the skin is the outer shell of the body, so are these groups. They are fastened to an inner group of tissues which in turn are supported by the bone structure, until we get to the vital organs—the vital group—the heart of the plan, that pumps the life blood—money—throughout the whole.

These subversives compare to the nervous system in the rapidity of their communications. The main group utilizes every faculty, every means at its command. This main group is directed by men schooled to international objectives: They are *above race, creed and color and do not hesitate to use either Christian or Jew for the attainment of their ends.*

The main group is striving relentlessly for the abolition of religion, thrones, families, private property, class and boundary lines or national sovereignties. They desire the complete destruction of the Christian social order and its civilization, with universal slavery for the masses, as already accomplished in Russia, substituted.

One of the main reasons for their seeming success is the stupidity of Christians. Often some good man unwittingly does his best work after he has been maneuvered into the wrong camp by diverse methods. Then, too, Christians are so divided, but this, also, has been cunningly fostered as part and parcel of the whole Machiavellian conspiracy.

Capitalism, Socialism, Communism, Naziism and Fascism are just so many carefully planned themes distributed among well-paid demagogues to create confusion in the minds of the people. It is like the fable of the blind men feeling an elephant and trying to describe it.

The so-called "persecution" of Jews is never to be compared with the millions of Christians who have been slaughtered. The Christians have never had control nor access to the channels of publicity.

I believe, for example, that two powerful groups of the same set of subversives are behind both sides in this war.

In the meantime, as their helpless pawns, the best Christian blood of Europe is shed for control of this world's riches, to the weakening, and ultimate annihilation, of the white race.

When Germany conquers Europe, on the German economic plan of barter, these two groups of "directors" will merge into a World Republic of Socialistic Soviet Republics.

Don't forget how startled the world was when the Stalin-Hitler deal was consummated. I believe the world has a lot more startling surprises in store for it.

Chicago, Ill. **G. P.**

Technically Neutral

TO THE EDITOR:

In one of his many one-sided fireside chats, Mr. Roosevelt told us that we were technically neutral but that it was every American's privilege to decide for himself where his sympathies were to be.

True to form that swept us into the last war, here come the spy scares, stories of Fifth Column activities. Much is said of propagandists. The German Consul in Philadelphia is condemned, apparently because he isn't pro-British. According to a recent United Press story, the district attorney of Fayette County, Pennsylvania, has several men under surveillance as "German sympathizers."

I haven't heard anything about the F.B.I. watching British sympathizers.

Certainly, all who are not hysterically pro-British and pro-war and pro-participation aren't on a Nazi payroll.

Regardless of arguments laboriously and unctiously put forward, can't we have an end to such war-whooping agitation and hysterical destruction of Americanism in newspaper columns, and among politicians?

While sympathy is with England and France here, our country is officially neutral.

As Col. Lindbergh sagely observed, our only enemies are those that our own warmongering politicians are making for us.

—Pertinax
Blue Bell, Pa.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

What Are You Doing For Yourself Right Now?

DO YOU KNOW YOU CAN STRIKE FOR $2,500.00 IN A FEW WEEKS?

QUESTIONS FOR THIS WEEK!

QUESTION No. 11

What Is the Basic Principle of the Current War?

1. Fascism vs. Communism.
2. Communism vs. Christianity.
3. Fascism vs. Christianity.
4. Capitalism vs. anti-Capitalism.
5. Protestantism vs. Catholicism.
6. Totalitarianism vs. Democracy.
7. Tyranny vs. Freedom.
8. The "haves" vs. the "have-nots."

QUESTION No. 12

Approximately How Much Does It Cost Americans Annually in Taxation to Keep the Nation's Money in Circulation?

1. One hundred thousand dollars.
2. One million dollars.
3. One billion dollars.
4. Two million dollars.
5. Five billion dollars.
6. Five million dollars.
7. Seven hundred million dollars.
8. Eight hundred million dollars.

Fill in This Coupon and Mail with 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 11 For Question No. 11 I select Answer No. ☐ **No. 12** For Question No. 12 I select Answer No. ☐

*Name*_____

*Address*_____

*Town or City*_____ *State*_____

EXTRA COUPON FOR SELF OR FRIEND

Fill in This Coupon and Mail with 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 11 For Question No. 11 I select Answer No. ☐ **No. 12** For Question No. 12 I select Answer No. ☐

*Name*_____

*Address*_____

*Town or City*_____ *State*_____

Rules of the Contest

1. Open to all readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE, except employes of Father Coughlin or members of their families.

2. The contest shall consist of 50 questions and shall run for 11 consecutive weeks, beginning on Monday, May 13, 1940. Two questions each week for 10 weeks and all 50 questions to appear on the 11th week. Each question deals with the social justice principles laid down by Father Coughlin in his radio addresses or with the world's leading men at this time and the effects of their actions on current events.

3. A Grand Prize of $2,500.00 will be awarded the reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE returning the best or most appropriate answers to the 50 questions, such answers to be based on the viewpoint of Father Coughlin and SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine as expressed over the radio or in print. The reader or readers submitting the next nearest correct answers and otherwise complying with the rules shall be eligible for the additional prizes in the order of their correctness.

4. In order to qualify as a prize-winner, the contestant is required to send in 10 cents in coin (not stamps) with each pair of answers. For these remittances the contestant shall have sent without further cost two copies of Father Coughlin's radio lecture books.

5. We cannot take into account solutions without signed names or addresses. Neither can claims to their ownership be recognized. We cannot be responsible for coupons arriving unduly late or lost in the mails. By entering the contest the contestant agrees to accept as final the decision of the judges as to procedure and final outcome.

6. In event of ties additional questions will be submitted. In event of final ties, after two such submissions, duplicate prizes will be awarded.

7. Do NOT decorate coupons. Neatness will not count.

8. Any inquiry, the reply to which could not affect outcome of contest, will be acknowledged.

9. A contestant may send in any number of sets of duly qualified answers and each set will be judged as a unit, but no individual may win more than one prize. If submitting more than one set of answers, the contestant is required to keep them distinct by designating them "Set 1," "Set 2," "Set 3," etc. Each submitted set shall entitle the contestant to the two books specified in Rule 4.

ADDRESS ALL CONTEST MAIL TO

'CONTEST MANAGER' SOCIAL JUSTICE

ROYAL OAK, MICHIGAN

IT WILL BE FAR EASIER to win $2,500.00 in cash in this current *"MEN AND MONEY" Contest* than in any competition SOCIAL JUSTICE has ever conducted. The reason is simple—there will be fewer contestants.

Why will there be fewer contestants? We don't exactly know. Perhaps everybody is thinking about the war, instead of internal American problems. Perhaps it's because summer's heat is here. Anyway, it's a fact—there will be fewer contestants.

Yet, within a few weeks 109 readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE must be awarded $6,500.00 in cash prizes—for answering 50 easy questions concerning Father Coughlin's money principles and current events reported in SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine.

Then, isn't this the chance you've been waiting for? With competition cut down, isn't your opportunity to win the Grand Prize of $2,500.00, the Second Prize of $1,200.00, the Third Prize of $1,000.00, or any of the other 106 prizes—isn't your opportunity to "cash in" now greater than ever before? You KNOW it is.

Remember, this is the shortest Contest we have ever conducted. Within FIVE MORE WEEKS, the announcement of the close will come. Then a week or two for computing returns.

Then—$2,500.00 in cash to YOU, if you are adjudged winner!

Take our tip—and enter. So far, the number of contestants entered is far below the number in previous contests for the same length of time. Let that be your cue to sail in and win. You positively have as good an opportunity to win the Grand Prize as any person entered or to enter in the future.

Now, here's what you do: down at the bottom of the page you will see a "PAST QUESTIONS" Coupon. Just mail that to the Contest Manager today. Within about three days you will be sent all questions and suggested answers which have appeared right down to now.

Then you can send them back, with your remittance, and you're on your way. And only a few weeks to go. Quick action. A little speed now. And $2,500.00 in cash CAN be yours.

So, how about it—TODAY?

109 Cash Prizes for 109 Readers

GRAND PRIZE	$2,500
Second Prize	1,200
Third Prize	1,000
Fourth Prize	400
Fifth Prize	300
Sixth Prize, 4 cash awards at $25	100
Seventh Prize, 100 cash awards at $10	1,000
TOTAL	$6,500

Catch Up With This Coupon

"PAST QUESTIONS" COUPON

CONTEST MANAGER, SOCIAL JUSTICE,
Royal Oak, Michigan

I wish to enter the "MEN AND MONEY" Contest at once.

Please send me FREE all past questions and suggested answers which have appeared in the Contest so far.

*My Name Is*_____

*My Address Is*_____

*City or Town*_____ *State*_____

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

What Is This Fifth Column?

New Yorker's Telegram to Senator Reynolds Warns of 'Small Pressure Group'

"WHAT is the Fifth Column?" asks William J. Goodwin, New York political leader, in a telegram to Senator Robert R. Reynolds. Mr. Goodwin suggests that the United States Senate give attention to the activities of the small pressure group which appears to be in control of newspaper and propaganda policy in this country.

His telegram reads as follows:

"As I understand it, the Communists are opposed to our form of government as well as Christianity. This was evidenced by their destruction of Christian churches in Russia for a period of twenty years, by their destruction of churches in Royalist Spain, by the butchering of nuns and priests, and the bayoneting of live little children — *as a warning to other children not to enter churches!*

"This same anti-Christian persecution has been carried on in nearby Mexico for years.

Is Newspaper Silence Itself a 5th Column?

"Not a word of this anti-Christian Communistic persecution has been carried in any of the metropolitan newspapers. Does their reticence and silence constitute a fifth column attitude, or is it "democratic" to murder Christians?

"To apply this situation locally, we hear quite a hullabaloo about the 'Christian Front,' but we have never been able to find a reason why an avowed Communist, Si Gerson, has been kept on the payroll of Borough President Isaac's office in New York City. This man is an acknowledged member of a political party, the directing head of which is in another country; and the admitted purpose of which is the violent overthrow of our form of government and the destruction of all forms of religion, particularly Christianity!

"The newspapers do not seem to pay any attention to this. Is silence on their part also fifth column activity, or is the fifth column all right as long as it is only anti-Christian?

These Questions Are Matter for Senators

"I think these questions should be placed before the United States Senate. I may add that the only time I ever heard the President of the United States, or the newspapers, comment unfavorably on Communist Russia was when Dictator Josef Stalin shook hands with Hitler.

"Again I ask, what is the fifth column, and when is the fifth column a fifth column?

"It begins to appear as if Col. Lindbergh was right when he said that ***a small pressure group controls*** the newspapers, the motion picture screen, and the radio, and is determined to force upon us two things: war and the third term!

"It begins to appear that war, the third term, the newspaper control, and the small pressure group, are all one—the four horsemen of the modern American Apocolypse!

"May I add this—***we are being lined up to fight on the side of Communist Russia and Churchill's England!*** Chamberlain was a real Christian leader, but Churchill is not; in fact, Churchill has Communized England within the last three weeks.

"Today, property rights and free speech do not exist in England.

"Tomorrow, Cromwell's ghost may walk again!"

New Deal Fails

(Continued from Page 14)

Failure on Fourth Front Is Most Frightening

"On the Government front, the New Deal has perhaps made its greatest failure from the standpoint of foreign relations. When much of the World is fighting to resist the onrush of totalitarian techniques of government, a farsighted American leadership would long ago have recognized that dictatorship was menacing democracy by the infiltration of its agents as well as its armies. Such leadership would therefore have reversed the trend toward dictatorship at home. It would have restored to American citizens their democracy-protecting rights of individual enterprise, private profits, freedom of initiative, protection from monopoly, and the check and balance system of political activity upon which our democracy has grown great, and upon which alone it can continue to maintain its greatness. By making democracy work here, such leadership would have made its retention or recovery seem more attractive to the people over there. As the menace of 'that man' developed overseas, the importance of government by law rather than government by men should have been recognized at home.

"Only by reposing in the people more of the responsibilities of self-government can the process of self-government be nurtured and strengthened in this Republic. The present crisis in which democracy finds itself calls for the full development of the great innate and inherent powers of democracy in its greatest proving ground in all the World.

"America—wake up and live. Too many of us have slumbered while the things which make America American have lost their luster.

Roosevelt Said:

(Continued from Page 10)

Inter-American Highway

March 5, 1934

Secretary Hull reported on the Inter-American Highway to the President. The President, in his note concerning the report, praised the proposed road for its economic and social advantages. The road will be 3,250 miles long from Nuevo Laredo on the Texas border.

No Aviation Policy

April 16, 1934

A White House statement was issued on governmental aviation policy. The President suggested that in connection with pending aviation legislation it should be borne in mind that the United States *has no broad aviation policy.* He had suggested to Senators McKellar and Black and Congressman Meade that a national policy necessitated the appointment of a commission to recommend to the next Congress a broad policy covering aviation.

Gesture to Canada

May 4, 1934

The President requested in Congress authority to return to Canada the Mace of the Parliament of Upper Canada (Ontario) taken at the Battle of York, April 27, 1813. This had been a prized possession of the Annapolis Academy.

In his message the President said: "Since the agreement of 1817, the two countries have, by common accord, maintained no hostile armaments on either side of their boundary; and every passing year cements the peace and friendship between the peoples of Canada and the United States."

The Munitions Racket

May 18, 1934

In a special message to the Senate on the menace of uncontrolled manufacture of arms and munitions, the President stated:

"The private and uncontrolled manufacture of arms and munitions and the traffic therein has become a serious source of international discord and strife. It is not possible, however, effectively to control such an evil by the isolated action of any one country. The enlightened opinion of the world has long realized that this is a field in which international action is necessary. The negotiation of the Convention for the Supervision of the International Trade in Arms and Ammunition and in Implements of War, signed at Geneva, June 17, 1925, was an important step in the right direction. That Convention is still before the Senate. I hope that the Senate may find it possible to give its advice and consent to its ratification. The ratification of that Convention by this Government, which has been too long delayed, would be a concrete indication of the willingness of the American people to make their contribution toward the suppression of abuses which may have disastrous results for the entire world if they are permitted to continue unchecked.

"The peoples of many countries are being taxed to the point of poverty and starvation in order to enable governments to engage in a mad race in armament which, if permitted to continue, may well result in war. This grave menace to the peace of the world is due in no small measure to the uncontrolled activities of the manufacturers and merchants of engines of destruction, and it must be met by the concerted action of the peoples of all nations."

The South American Way

December 12, 1934

The President addressed remarks to the Pan-American Society on the development of closer cultural and educational relations with our neighbors in South and Central America. He described the organization as a "practical means of insuring the continuance of amicable relations between the American Republics through the means of the Inter-American Commercial Arbitration Commission."

January 16, 1935

The President recommended to the Senate adherence to the World Court. He called the World Court "an obviously sound and thoroughly American policy." He urged the Senate to give its consent *in such form as not to defeat or delay the objective of adherence.*

CARTOONS OF THE WEEK



—Carmack in the Christian Science Monitor

time to blackout the lighthouse



—Herblock in the Pittsburgh Press

These are not fifth columns

—Jensen in the Chicago Daily News

Having mind elsewhere has its advantages

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

YOU'RE IN THE ARMY NOW!

THIS COUNTRY'S sale of airplanes and other war material to the Allies has been accepted by the enemies of Great Britain and France as an act unfriendly to them.

Reprisals face America.

The locker room or powerhouse of the factory where you work may become the target of the next bomb blast, if sabotage is turned loose upon this peaceful land.

The worker at the shop bench is in the front line trenches!

The ladies of your bridge club may drive street cars, or clean city streets, if all the men are needed for a total war.

This is not hysteria. It is facing facts.

What these facts MEAN; where they affect YOU the weekly Comment in SOCIAL JUSTICE will attempt to tell you.

Here is one National Weekly Magazine which DARES to tell the truth–and all of it.

It is supported by no advertisers; it bows to no subversive "bosses." It brooks no favors. IT ASKS NO QUARTER!

But time is short. **You must not only read SOCIAL JUSTICE yourself, but make an effort, TODAY, this week, to see that your neighbor, too, has access to the facts–WHILE THERE IS STILL TIME!**

SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine is indispensible to you–if you want the truth.

If your own subscription is near the expiring date, renew at once. If you are not a regular subscriber, use the coupon below TODAY.

One year – $3.00. Six months – $1.50. For the Facts.

★

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., INC.
Royal Oak, Michigan

Gentlemen:

Please renew / enter **my subscription to SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine. I am enclosing $_____ in order to receive the TRUTH about world affairs for _____ weeks.**

My Name Is _____

My Address Is _____

City or Town _____ ***State*** _____

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin



The 'Forgotten Man' and the Dawn of a Dynasty

POSTMASTER GENERAL JAMES A. FARLEY has dropped his opposition to a third term for President Roosevelt, our Washington correspondent reports.

Mr. Farley, the report continues, has consented to continue as Democratic National Chairman, to drop his own candidacy, and bow his head to the politically inevitable.

If true, a glorious American tradition falls; and a dynasty dawns for the United States—a New Deal dynasty to be manned by Mr. Roosevelt and the successor appointed by him.

James Aloysius Farley is an honest man; even his political enemies so regard him. Farley is too direct, too outspoken, too plain. These virtues are an impediment in the scheme of those who, by trickery and propaganda, plan to sacrifice democracy, liberty and peace in America to fight the battles of British imperialism.

If Mr. Farley is a "forgotten man," it is more than an incident in the history of politics: It indicates the unfolding of a tragedy, culminating in forgetting the Farley virtues which helped to make America great.

Can an administration that failed in the calm of peacetime, succeed under the hotspur of war?

Mr. Roosevelt and his advisors have bartered our weapons of national defense and shipped them abroad to postpone defeat for the hard-pressed Allies.

Will the voters of the nation be permitted to pass upon this action of the Executive in a November election?

FULL, FRANK AND FREE COMMENT
On National and World Affairs in Every Edition

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED